

Texas Juvenile Probation Commission

TEXAS JUVENILE PROBATION STATISTICAL ACTIVITY REPORT

Calendar Year 1999

TEXAS JUVENILE PROBATION STATISTICAL ACTIVITY REPORT



Statistical and Other Data on the Juvenile Justice System in Texas for Calendar Year 1999

Texas Juvenile Probation Commission Vicki Spriggs, Executive Director P.O. Box 13547 Austin, Texas 78711-3547

4900 North Lamar, 5th Floor Telephone 512/424-6700, TDD (512) 424-4000, FAX (512) 424-6717

Additional copies of this and other publications may be found on the TJPC Web Site at: www.tjpc.state.tx.us

Published July 2000

The Texas Juvenile Probation Commission, an equal opportunity employer, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services, programs or activities. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, this document may be requested in alternative formats by contacting the Texas Juvenile Probation Commission at the above address.

Acknowledgment

The Texas Juvenile Probation Commission expresses its appreciation to the many juvenile probation officers, juvenile court judges and others whose prompt and continued submission of their statistical and other data have made this report possible.

Table of Contents

Forward	4
Texas Juvenile Probation System - 1999	
Introduction	6
Juvenile Boards	6
Juvenile Probation Departments	7
Statewide Juvenile Activity - 1999	
Juvenile Referral Activity	12
Juvenile Detention Activity	16
Juvenile Disposition Activity	18
Supervision Workload Activity	20
Summary	22
Glossary of Juvenile Justice Terminology	23
Texas Juvenile Crime Clock	25
Appendix (by County)	
Juvenile Probation Referral Activity	27
Juvenile Probation Detention Activity	34
Juvenile Probation Disposition Activity	41
Juvenile Probation Supervision Workload Activity	48

Forward

The Texas Juvenile Probation Commission's (TJPC) annual statistical report provides information regarding the magnitude and nature of juvenile criminal activity and the juvenile probation system's response. This information is offered to help assist the state's effort to improve the juvenile justice system and reduce juvenile crime in Texas.

During calendar year 1999, police agencies in Texas arrested 154,650 juveniles between the ages of 10 and 17. Of this number, 61,026 were warned and released, handled in justice and municipal courts or diverted, and 93,624 were referred to juvenile probation departments. In addition to police agency referrals, social agencies, parents, schools, the Texas Youth Commission (TYC), and others referred another 25,372 juveniles, for a total of 118,996. This is a decrease of 6,612 (5.3%) total referrals from the previous year, which is the fourth decrease of referrals in Texas in four consecutive years.

All of the 254 counties in Texas received services through 164 juvenile probation departments in 1999. These departments were staffed by a total of 6,243 employees, 2,078 of whom carried a probation caseload.


Counties operated 46 secure pre-adjudication residential facilities, seven holdover facilities, and 25 secure post-adjudication residential facilities in 1999. In addition to the county-operated facilities, private contractors provided services for juveniles in 12 pre-adjudication facilities, one holdover facility, and 17 post-adjudication secure facilities. These facilities provided a combined total of 1,950 pre-adjudication, 40 holdover, and 1,811 post-adjudication beds.

In 1999, 50,201 juveniles were held in secure detention facilities prior to adjudication hearings. Approximately 31% of those detained were released in less than one day. An additional 8,341 placements were made to residential facilities, generally following the juvenile's disposition.

Juvenile probation departments disposed of 36,690 referrals by giving a supervisory caution, diverting to other agencies for treatment, or dismissing the complaints, and initiated 15,671 deferred prosecutions or other temporary supervisions under guidelines of their juvenile boards.

Juvenile prosecutors, independently or through their juvenile courts, entered 6,596 deferred prosecutions and 26,057 probation orders. Juvenile courts also committed 3,002 juveniles to the Texas Youth Commission, certified 236 juveniles to stand trial as adults, and refused prosecution, dismissed, gave supervisory caution, modified/extended, consolidated, or otherwise disposed of another 33,247 cases.

Movement of Juveniles 1999



Texas Juvenile Probation System - 1999

Introduction

The TJPC collects statistical data regarding juveniles referred to the juvenile justice system from the 164 probation departments that cover all Texas counties. Beginning in January 1999, each department was required to begin submitting individual case file data in an electronic format, replacing the aggregate reporting methods used in previous years. In addition to the data collected through the extracts of their electronic systems, TJPC also surveys the departments to obtain supplemental information.

Caution should be used in drawing general conclusions from juvenile probation statistics. The following data portray neither everything juvenile probation departments or courts do, nor how much time they spend on activities not represented by the statistics. There is no reliable way to ascertain the time spent by juvenile probation officers or juvenile judges in counseling, study, and research.

At least three factors are not represented in the juvenile probation activity statistics, and should be considered when evaluating them:

- 1. Juvenile probation officers in multi-county departments spend more time traveling than do officers in single-county jurisdictions.
- 2. A number of the juvenile probation officers serve both adult and juvenile capacities, and often operate under widely varying authorities and policies.
- 3. The juvenile justice system operates under the rules and authority of Title 3 of the Texas Family Code. Emphasis is placed on the rehabilitation of the juvenile through accountability, guidance, counseling, diversion, and treatment. Many of the cases statistically reported as being disposed as "supervisory caution" or "dismissed or otherwise disposed," in fact occasion extensive rehabilitative efforts on the part of the juvenile probation system.

The information in this report, as well as a variety of other information, is also available on the TJPC Web Site (www.tjpc.state.tx.us).

Juvenile Boards

State law creates a juvenile board for every county, while some juvenile boards govern multiple counties. In 1999, there were 172 juvenile boards in Texas. Each board, consisting of district and county judges, is responsible for overseeing the operations of the juvenile justice system in that county, which includes designating juvenile judges, appointing the chief juvenile probation officer, and setting the policy and budget for the juvenile probation department. TJPC provides funding and technical assistance to juvenile boards.

Juvenile Probation Departments

Structure. Juvenile probation departments carry out the policies of juvenile boards and deliver services to juveniles referred to juvenile courts. There are 119 single county departments and 45 multi-county departments in Texas. Multi-county departments range from two to six counties. Eighteen departments served both adult and juvenile probationers, while 15 of these combined departments used separate juvenile probation officers.

Salaries and Staffing. Based on information received in the 1999 Annual Resource Survey, there were 6,243 salaried personnel, including certified and non-certified staff, in juvenile probation departments across Texas at the end of 1999. This represents 566 more employees than 1998. Eight of the departments had only one employee (a Chief Juvenile Probation Officer). The following table illustrates the breakdown of department staff and their salaries.

Juvenile Probation Staff Size and Salaries

Position	# in Texas	Low Salary	High Salary
Chief Juvenile Probation Officer	164	\$18,264	\$116,208
Supervisory Administrative Probation/Detention Staff	538	\$18,000	\$103,164
Certified ISP Officers	262	\$18,000	\$46,452
Certified Juvenile Probation Officers	1,468	\$15,308	\$51,200
Certified Juvenile Detention Officers	1,031	\$14,000	\$43,848
Other Detention Workers	767	\$5.00/hour	\$15.00/hour
Certified Corrections Officers (not drill instructors)	286	\$15,128	\$43,848
Certified Corrections Officers (residential drill instructors)	133	\$18,720	\$26,400
Non-Residential Drill Instructors	110	\$11,700	\$41,068
Department-Operated Residential Program Staff	270	\$17,000	\$55,296
Clerical Staff	628	\$10,000	\$43,848
Other Staff	586	NA	NA

Education, Training, and Certification. TJPC requires that all Texas juvenile probation, detention, and corrections officers are certified as having earned necessary academic degrees and completed 40 hours annually of approved continuing education. During 1999, TJPC certified 356 new juvenile probation officers, 449 detention officers, and 491 corrections child-care workers. An additional 975 existing officers were also re-certified.


Training is provided by TJPC for juvenile judges and all levels of juvenile probation personnel. The TJPC, through 36 on-site or regional sessions and 38 statewide sessions, conducted training for 2,678 participants for a total of 40,994 contact hours in 1999.

Funding. The Commission is the primary agency through which state funding for juvenile justice is channeled to the counties. During fiscal year 1999, TJPC sent nearly \$100 million dollars to county juvenile boards for their local probation departments. Total revenues appropriated to TJPC increased 368 percent since the beginning of the decade, from \$20,062,039 in fiscal year 1990 to \$93,900,612 in fiscal year 1999.

State funds account for only about 35 percent of total funding for juvenile probation. Approximately 65 percent of the total is provided by local Commissioner's Courts from county revenues. Juvenile boards work closely with the Commissioner's Courts to set budgets for the operation of the local juvenile probation departments using both local and state funds.

Juvenile Justice and Education. Probation departments work closely with independent school districts. The following chart illustrates the school status of the 118,996 referrals in 1999.

Education Status of Referrals



Juvenile justice alternative education programs – also known as JJAEPs – provide continued education services for youth that have been expelled from the public school system. The first JJAEPs began operating in Texas in 1996. In fiscal year 1999 (school year) there were 22 mandatory JJAEPs operated in Texas and nine JJAEPs funded with grant money in counties with populations of less than 125,000. During that time, the 31 JJAEPs in operation served 5,644 students. Approximately 66% of the students were expelled from the school districts for discretionary reasons, most commonly for serious or persistent misconduct. An additional 976 youth were served in non-mandated JJAEPs operated by juvenile probation departments.

Programs. All juvenile probation departments provide at least basic services to some degree. The table below shows the extent that some programs are available offering services beyond basic supervision.


Programs Operated by Juvenile Probation Departments

Programs Provided	# of Depts. Operating Program	Programs Provided	# of Depts. Operating Program
Anger Management/Conflict Resolution	29	Gang Prevention/Intervention	2
Border Justice Project	4	Intensive Supervision	134
Community Service Restitution	60	Life Skills	22
Early Intervention/First Referral	26	Mental Health/Mental Retardation	14
Educational/Mentor	12	Runaway/Truancy	4
Electronic Monitoring	103	Sex Offender	18
Experiential Education	10	Substance Abuse Prevention/Intervention	32
Extended Day Program/Day Boot Camp	94	Substance Abuse Treatment	28
Family Preservation	25	Victim Mediation	7
Female offender Program	1	Vocational	3

Restitution. Nearly every juvenile probation department utilized both community service restitution and financial restitution in 1999. During the year, 31,624 juveniles provided over 820,000 hours of community service in response to the offenses for which they were referred. Additionally, 13,810 juveniles paid over \$2.2 million statewide in financial restitution.

Assessment Instruments. TJPC Case Management Standards require that all juvenile probation departments complete a standard initial assessment tool for all referrals. In 1999, 86% of the probation departments utilized the *TJPC Compass* instrument on 30,651 juveniles, while the rest of the departments utilized another initial assessment tool approved by TJPC on 20,286 juveniles. The following table illustrates the number of juveniles both who received psychological or psychiatric testing based on the results of the assessment and who indicated a need for testing, but did not receive any.

Testing Based on Initial Assessment Instruments



Gangs and Substance Abuse. While all violent crimes may not be directly attributed to the factors, research indicates that much of the violent behavior in the last fifteen years was directly related to two major factors: growth in gang related crimes and involvement in substance abuse. These impacted both violent and property crimes. Urban and rural counties alike experience problems with gangs and substance abuse.

One hundred twenty one, or 74%, of the juvenile probation departments in Texas reported evidence of juvenile gangs in their jurisdictions on the 1999 statewide resource survey. On the same survey, 96% of the departments identified a total of 4,236 juvenile gangs in their combined jurisdictions.

Local probation departments provided either residential or non-residential substance abuse services to 17,437 juveniles and spent \$11,562,975 on treatment services. The number of juveniles receiving these services increased over 16% from the number served in 1998. Drug or alcohol tests were utilized in nearly all (98%) of the juvenile probation departments and were administered on approximately 41,272 referrals statewide.

Juvenile Probation Referral Activity STATEWIDE SUMMARY OF 1999 REPORTED ACTIVITY

	Alleged Delinquent Behavior																		
					Fe	elony Offe	nses						Class A8	B Misde	meanors		Viol of	Contp of	Total
	Hom	Att Hom	Sex Aslt	Robb	Agg Aslt	Burg	Theft	MV Theft	Drug Offs	Weap Viols	Other Fels	Weap Viols	Aslt	Theft	Drug Offs	Other Misd	Prob Order	Mags Order	Delinq
No Prior Refs	49	17	1166	429	1697	3269	587	1152	1310	567	1963	416	5119	8117	5056	8035	107	1782	40838
Prior Refs	74	24	532	698	1823	3226	782	1822	1476	280	1382	437	4126	4783	3980	8201	12528	2318	48492
No Prior Adjud	87	32	1526	840	2845	5349	1056	2243	2142	758	2888	705	8139	11481	7867	13429	853	3568	65808
Prior Adjud	36	9	172	287	675	1146	313	731	644	89	457	148	1106	1419	1169	2807	11782	532	23522
No Prior Comm	118	39	1688	1107	3488	6450	1354	2928	2733	842	3323	843	9221	12856	9002	16047	12598	4079	88716
Prior Comm	5	2	10	20	32	45	15	46	53	5	22	10	24	44	34	189	37	21	614
Police Agency	115	39	1642	1073	3316	6254	1308	2847	2552	728	3028	782	8650	12265	7846	14475	4370	1511	72801
School	4	2	11	21	103	31	9	9	82	87	142	32	324	125	824	684	283	79	2852
Other	4	0	45	33	101	210	52	118	152	32	175	39	271	510	366	1077	7982	2510	13677
Regular School	102	35	1570	947	3055	5774	1178	2526	2275	749	2998	734	8410	11922	8135	14504	10182	3786	78882
Alt Education	3	1	53	55	237	309	74	159	128	43	157	29	377	315	462	781	1355	145	4683
Dropped Out	17	1	46	97	165	297	89	223	304	25	130	72	289	507	299	648	727	112	4048
Susp/Expelled	1	4	29	28	63	115	28	66	79	30	60	18	169	156	140	303	371	57	1717
Pass&App Grade	54	11	1015	427	1748	3515	529	1413	1101	433	1949	406	5009	7005	4859	8444	5882	2281	46081
Failing/Behind	69	30	683	700	1772	2980	840	1561	1685	414	1396	447	4236	5895	4177	7792	6753	1819	43249
10 years old	1	0	49	158	70	163	6	10	7	19	53	5	172	162	20	257	35	21	1061
11 years old	0	2	84	30	136	271	19	22	14	21	123	5	355	358	62	489	62	48	2091
12 years old	0	2	183	440	280	524	53	79	43	65	232	17	773	898	328	1135	302	162	5129
13 years old	3	3	278	43	469	945	120	307	150	114	453	67	1439	1660	890	2171	1003	401	10570
14 years old	16	5	354	298	704	1254	261	627	435	171	643	143	1992	2485	1670	3224	2122	765	17038
15 years old	30	12	327	84	810	1614	400	869	804	201	800	196	2147	3192	2577	4087	3361	1188	22917
16 years old	65	17	357	71	1020	1638	492	1028	1293	253	982	411	2281	3987	3408	4642	3976	1367	27671
17 years old	8	0	66	3	31	86	18	32	40	3	59	9	86	158	81	231	1774	148	2853
Non-Hsp Male Non-Hsp Female Black Male Black Female Hispanic Male Hsp Female Other Male Other Female	30 2 33 8 41 8 1 0	7 6 3 0 25 0 0	760 35 399 16 467 12 8	169 25 472 40 333 65 22	857 247 742 352 1053 231 32 6	2461 308 1269 75 2134 161 84 3	366 64 344 28 469 60 29 9	744 340 623 108 921 200 33 5	567 203 906 69 834 186 12	298 14 129 27 341 26 11	1204 292 397 98 1071 233 39	280 8 137 12 383 24 9 0	2332 1253 1476 688 2293 1136 58 9	2733 1566 2155 1348 3021 1784 202 91	2908 660 1090 119 3493 707 51 8	4792 1096 3059 662 5465 991 156 15	2972 994 2875 636 3931 1135 75	644 474 536 361 1183 821 52 29	24124 7587 16645 4647 27458 7780 874 215
Total Refs	123	41	1698	1127	3520	6495	1369	2974	2786	847	3345	853	9245	12900	9036	16236	12635	4100	89330

					Alleged	C.I.N.S. B	ehavior							
	Status	s Only		Other than Status Only										
	Trucy	Runwy	Theft	Disd Cond	Inhal	DWI & DUID	Liqr Laws	AltEd Expl	Contp @Risk	Other CINS	CINS			
No Prior Refs	1297	6804	380	1359	13	46	411	786	18	1769	12883			
Prior Refs	1659	7337	322	1426	20	26	489	1301	18	4185	16783			
No Prior Adjud	2509	12640	608	2435	27	61	761	1759	32	4561	25393			
Prior Adjud	447	1501	94	350	6	11	139	328	4	1393	4273			
No Prior Comm	2949	13953	700	2777	33	72	898	2084	36	5795	29297			
Prior Comm	7	188	2	8	0	0	2	3	0	159	369			
Police Agency	548	12980	598	1665	31	68	733	57	3	4140	20823			
School	1157	38	10	284	1	0	69	1856	0	1014	4429			
Other	1251	1123	94	836	1	4	98	174	33	800	4414			
Regular School	2758	13075	637	2358	29	63	768	725	34	5230	25677			
Alt Education	107	409	42	360	1	0	77	810	0	148	1954			
Dropped Out	61	564	17	31	3	9	44	6	2	251	988			
Susp/Expelled	30	93	6	36	0	0	11	546	0	325	1047			
Pass&App Grade	1633	6012	544	1892	16	40	559	949	31	3053	14729			
Failing/Behind	1323	8129	158	893	17	32	341	1138	5	2901	14937			
10 years old 11 years old 12 years old 13 years old 14 years old 15 years old 16 years old 17 years old	20 54 137 332 534 776 912 191	53 212 666 1817 2909 3981 4116 387	14 37 55 108 178 134 168 8	58 100 262 467 570 684 614 30	0 0 2 8 5 6 10 2	0 0 1 5 9 56 1	3 1 18 53 148 275 376 26	25 47 156 363 470 567 423 36	0 1 2 3 11 12 7 0	14 63 264 717 1167 1583 1828 318	187 515 1562 3869 5997 8027 8510 999			
Non-Hsp Male Non-Hsp Female Black Male Black Female Hispanic Male Hsp Female Other Male	650 530 275 211 707 538 29	2410 4263 1176 1547 1511 3000 59	186 95 133 72 157 55 2	699 256 441 219 830 332 8	3 3 0 0 19 8	37 6 0 0 26 2	220 76 29 11 435 122 6	631 160 411 151 589 124 18	9 2 1 0 18 6	1121 476 805 211 2439 820 60	5966 5867 3271 2422 6731 5007 183			
Other Female	16	175	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	22	219			

Grand Total Deling & CINS
53721 65275
91201 27795
118013 983
93624 7281 18091
104559 6637 5036 2764
60810 58186
1248 2606 6691 14439 23035 30944 36181 3852
30090 13454 19916 7069 34189 12787 1057 434


Total Refs	2956	14141	702	2785	33	72	900	2087	36	5954	29666	
------------	------	-------	-----	------	----	----	-----	------	----	------	-------	--

Statewide Juvenile Activity - 1999

Statewide data on referrals, detentions, disposition activities, and supervision workloads are contained in the four subsections that follow. Data from previous years for comparison is available from TJPC. An abbreviated county-by-county summary of each of the four parts is located in the appendix.


Juvenile Referral Activity

There were 118,996 referrals to juvenile probation authorities in Texas, a decrease of 5.3% from 1998, the fourth consecutive decrease in total referrals since 1995. This decrease occurred while there was a slight increase (0.9%) in the juvenile-aged population, from 2,073,628 in 1998, to 2,092,764 in 1999. The number of juveniles referred decreased for the third consecutive year in 1999, from 85,261 (67.9% of the total referrals) in 1998, to 82,096 (69.0% of the total referrals) in 1999. Police agencies made nearly eight out of ten referrals.



Referrals vs. Juveniles Referred

More than half of the referrals (55%) had been referred before, with 23% (down from 35% in 1998) having been previously adjudicated by the courts and 0.8% (down from 1.3% in 1998) having been previously committed to the Texas Youth Commission. The following chart illustrates that since 1996, the percent of total referrals with no prior referral has gradually increased. While the total number of referrals steadily decreased in the last four years, there were more juveniles entering the system for the first time.




Percent of Referrals with Prior vs. No Prior Referral

Offense Distribution. Texas experienced its fourth consecutive year of decreasing juvenile referrals. Total referrals were down 5.3% in 1999 from the year before. Notable changes in delinquent referrals of 1999 over 1998, as illustrated in the chart below, included the slight decrease in felony referrals and slight increase in non-felony delinquent offense referrals. While as a percent of total referrals, violent felony referrals slightly increased, the actual number decreased 0.9% from 1998. Burglary, the most common felony referral, decreased 13% from 1998. While total referrals decreased, non-felony delinquent offenses (including Misdemeanor A & B offenses and violations of juvenile court or magistrate's orders) increased both as a percent of total referrals and in actual occurrences.


CINS offense referrals decreased 16.5% from 1998. Status offense referrals decreased by 4.2%, while the remainder of the CINS change occurred with Misdemeanor C and other non-status CINS offenses. The greatest decreases were experienced in the theft, disorderly conduct, and inhalant offense categories, each decreasing more than 43%.

Offense Distribution of Referrals




Age, Sex, Race Distribution. All age categories from age 10 to age 16 showed slight decreases in 1999. Of these, age 15 experienced the greatest percentage decrease of 8.0% from 1998. The only age category showing an increase (4.3%) from 1998 to 1999 was age 17.






No sex and race category experienced an increase in referrals from 1998. The "white female" referral category showed the greatest decrease (7.7%) in 1999; while the "black male" referral category showed the slightest decrease (2.3%).

Sex and Race Distribution of Referrals



Referral Projections. The Criminal Justice Policy Council is mandated by the Texas Legislature to project juvenile justice correctional populations. In their report titled "Projection of Juvenile Justice Correctional Populations: Fiscal Years 2000 – 2005" (June 15, 2000), juvenile probation referrals are projected to increase. This referral projection is primarily due to the projected increase in the juvenile-aged population. The juvenile population in Texas is projected to increase 10.2% in the five-year period, from 2,106,263 in 2000, to 2,321,002 in 2005. The following table illustrates the Criminal Justice Policy Council's referral projection during this time.

Referral Projections, 2000 - 2005



 data is from the Criminal Justice Policy Council report "Projection of Juvenile Justice Correctional Populations: Fiscal Years 2000-2005"

Juvenile Probation Detention and Placement Activity STATEWIDE SUMMARY OF 1999 REPORTED ACTIVITY

		Alleged Delinquent Behavior																	
					F	elony Offe	enses					(Class A&	B Misde	emeanors		Viol of	Contp of	Total
	Hom	Att Hom	Sex Aslt	Robb	Agg Aslt	Burg	Theft	MV Theft	Drug Offs	Weap Viols	Other Fels	Weap Viols	Aslt	Theft	Drug Offs	Other Misd	Prob Order	Mags Order	Delinq
Total Secure Det	96	48	1120	964	2693	3549	811	2086	1823	551	1751	545	4891	3824	3296	6658	10570	1449	46725
Offense/Behav No Alt Place Other	95 0 1	46 0 2	1081 4 35	935 0 29	2621 3 69	3439 33 77	769 21 21	2009 27 50	1770 23 30	544 2 5	1651 26 74	532 2 11	4731 25 135	3631 60 133	3158 44 94	6326 147 185	10336 40 194	1386 5 58	45060 462 1203
< 24 Hours 24 Hrs – 10 Days > 10 Days	5 19 72	4 18 26	187 415 518	141 288 535	552 1168 973	902 1576 1071	234 362 215	552 869 665	465 743 615	122 253 176	501 797 453	164 195 186	1849 2051 991	1829 1245 750	1365 1349 582	2377 2787 1494	1712 5026 3832	704 598 147	13665 19759 13301
	_																		
Resid Placement	2	0	193	158	330	768	127	374	365	69	206	93	573	613	599	965	2557	81	8073

					Alleged	C.I.N.S. B	ehavior				
	Status	s Only			(Other than	Status Only	1			Total
	Trucy	Runwy	Theft	Disd Cond	Inhal	DWI & DUID	Liqr Laws	AltEd Expl	Contp @Risk	Other CINS	CINS
Total Secure Det	139	1561	33	254	9	17	111	450	1	901	3476
Offense/Behav No Alt Place Other	124 0 15	1439 45 77	23 2 8	223 4 27	9 0	14 1 2	102 4 5	446 1 3	1 0 0	848 9 44	3229 66 181
< 24 Hours 24 Hrs – 10 Days > 10 Days	72 54 13	949 496 116	19 12 2	129 103 22	7 1 1	5 5 7	69 30 12	234 194 22	1 0 0	219 393 289	1704 1288 484
Resid Placement	37	106	4	28	3		11	21	0	57	268

	Grand Total Delinq & CINS
	50201
	48289 528 1384
	15369 21047 13785
1	

Juvenile Detention and Residential Placement Activity

Detention Activity. Of the 118,996 juveniles referred, 68,795 were immediately released to their parents or other responsible adults or were placed in a non-secure residential setting. The remaining 50,201 were detained in one of the 71 pre-adjudication secure residential facilities or holdover facilities.

Contrary to the decrease in referrals from 1998, the incidence of secure detention increased 2.5% in the state. Over 30% of all juveniles securely detained were released in less than one day. Only 1.1%, or 528, of the juveniles securely detained could have been placed in alternative non-secure settings had they been available. This percent decreased from two percent in 1998, most likely due to the increased availability of detention beds and facilities.

Residential Placement Activity. A total of 8,341 juveniles were placed in secure or non-secure residential facilities, not including secure pre-adjudication or holdover facility placements. These juveniles were placed for an average of 116 days at an average cost of \$76.93 per day.

During fiscal year 1999, 25 percent of state probation funds were budgeted for placement services. Counties without residential placement funds are forced to seek out alternatives to residential placements, such as finding free placements in the private sector or committing to the Texas Youth Commission juveniles who need to be removed from their homes. In 1999, departments committed 312 juveniles to the Texas Youth Commission because insufficient residential placement resources were available.

Registry of Secure Facilities. TJPC maintains a statewide registry for all public and private secure juvenile and holdover facilities in Texas. The statewide registry functions as a database of information about each secure juvenile facility operating in the state. Under the Texas Family Code, no child shall be placed into a secure facility that is not registered with TJPC. The table that follows shows the number and types of facilities listed in the 1999 Secure Facilities Registry.

Summary of 1999 Secure Facilities Registry

	Post-Adjudication	Pre-Adjudication	Holdover
Total Number of Registered Facilities in Texas	42	58	8
Number Publicly Operated	25	46	7
Number Privately Operated	17	12	1
Number of Beds Available	1,811	1,950	40
Number Children Served in 1999	5,325	49,711	769
Average Cost Per Day	\$79.42	\$72.82	\$73.33

Juvenile Probation Disposition Activity STATEWIDE SUMMARY OF 1999 REPORTED ACTIVITY

		Alleged Delinquent Behavi									Behavio	or	or						
					F	elony Offe	enses					Class A& B Misdemeanors				Viol of Prob	Contp of	Total	
	Hom	Att Hom	Sex Aslt	Robb	Agg Aslt	Burg	Theft	MV Theft	Drug Offs	Weap Viols	Other Fels	Weap Viols	Aslt	Theft	Drug Offs	Other Misd	Order	Mags Order	Delinq
Dism/Withdrawn Supv Caution Deferred Pros	2 1 0	0 0 4	39 35 37	28 10 7	123 159 100	190 234 352	96 56 31	171 195 112	90 108 95	17 34 54	122 118 204	29 66 74	468 1160 1273	801 2303 3324	371 1046 2227	821 2779 3111	1228 3346 6	250 700 1276	4846 12350 12287
No Prob Cause Ref & Non-Suit Supv Caution Deferred Pros	10 3 5 0	0 2 7 0	150 165 43 61	68 12 10 19	224 320 84 134	294 342 93 334	67 118 47 48	253 199 83 94	171 161 50 104	54 53 8 57	196 204 70 244	38 51 15 50	647 677 210 867	354 473 127 950	281 316 115 458	1150 847 251 685	264 466 43 2	222 229 34 99	4443 4709 1292 4206
Dism/NG/Trans Supv Caution Deferred Pros Adj Probation Modf/Extd Prob Indeter Commit Deter Commit Cert Adult Court Consolidated	7 0 0 14 0 5 14 46 2	4 0 0 2 0 4 4 6	183 0 11 500 15 126 55 25 35	98 0 3 398 18 125 58 59	297 8 57 1114 70 155 44 30 125	445 9 134 3350 167 263 7 13 435	125 2 11 472 37 50 0 4 93	271 2 34 1261 114 163 0 10 262	239 0 77 1307 66 165 3 16 148	71 0 38 409 14 25 2 4 23	241 2 81 1253 70 96 3 21	189 2 31 512 18 30 0	674 16 341 2928 163 110 0 0 591	872 7 485 2794 225 129 0 0 999	468 7 383 2768 198 81 0 0 669	1088 48 426 4115 303 202 0 0 1586	579 560 8 1019 2751 1062 0 0	115 14 16 651 49 7 0 0 386	5866 677 2136 24867 4278 2798 201 236 7594

					Alleged	C.I.N.S. B	ehavior					
	Status	s Only	Other than Status Only									
	Trucy	Runwy	Theft	Disd Cond	Inhal	DWI & DUID	Liqr Laws	AltEd Expl	Contp @Risk	Other CINS	CINS	
Dism/Withdrawn Supv Caution Deferred Pros	228 1307 440	1186 9907 839	87 440 67	157 1671 493	7 10 8	5 12 6	99 408 214	95 790 773	0 32 1	1331 1722 600	3195 16299 3384	
No Prob Cause Ref & Non-Suit Supv Caution Deferred Pros	75 35 8 46	267 181 23 26	5 13 2 9	45 63 13 37	1 2 0 0	4 4 3 3	16 13 5 7	32 60 7 15	0 0 0	357 99 8 23	802 470 69 166	
Dism/NG/Trans Supv Caution Deferred Pros Adj Probation Modf/Extd Prob Indeter Commit Deter Commit Cert Adult Court	51 305 33 286 22 0 0	248 38 17 356 27 0 0	15 6 4 8 7 0 0	90 53 19 96 11 2 0	0 0 7 0 0 0	2 0 0 27 2 0 0	38 7 3 18 3 0 0	28 9 6 126 20 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	53 17 6 266 19 0 0	525 435 88 1190 112 0 0	
Consolidated	167	1017	42	203	4	8	52	136	0	346	1975	

Juvenile Disposition Activity

Dispositions were made in 121,499 juvenile cases. Juvenile probation departments disposed of 52,361 of these cases, with 8,041 cases dismissed or withdrawn, 28,649 cases given supervisory caution, and 15,671 cases placed on deferred prosecution.


Juvenile prosecutors resolved an additional 16,157 cases. Prosecutors disposed of 1,361 cases with supervisory caution and 4,372 with deferred prosecution. No probable cause was deemed on 5,245 referrals, while 5,179 were refused and non-suited.

The remaining 52,981 cases were resolved by the juvenile court. Of these, the court ordered supervisory caution to 1,112 cases; deferred prosecution on 2,224 cases; adjudicated to probation


26,057 cases; certified and transferred to adult court 236 cases; adjudicated and committed to TYC 3,002 cases (2,800 with indeterminate sentences and 202 with determinate sentences); and refused, consolidated, dismissed or otherwise disposed of 20,350 cases.

Breakdown of Juvenile Dispositions

Dispositions by Source




Types of Dispositions



The number of juveniles certified to stand trial as an adult decreased 45.5% from 433 in 1998 to 236 in 1999. At the same time, after five consecutive years of increases, there was a decrease in both the number of commitments to the Texas Youth Commission (TYC) and the rate of TYC commitments as a percentage of delinquent referrals. In 1999, juvenile courts committed 3,002 referrals, or 3.37% of delinquent referrals, to TYC, compared to 3,416 referrals, or 3.79% of delinquent referrals, in 1998.

Commitments to the Texas Youth Commission



The decrease in commitments to TYC was partly due to several factors. One was the decrease in the number of referrals. Another major factor was the TYC commitment restriction set forth by House Bill 2947, effective September 1999. This bill prohibits committing a child to TYC on a misdemeanor offense or violation of misdemeanor probation unless the child has two prior misdemeanor or felony adjudications.

Juvenile Probation Supervision Workload Activity STATEWIDE SUMMARY OF 1999 REPORTED ACTIVITY

Item 1. Juveniles Adjudicated to Probation

The Cartesian Control of Carte											
Beginning of Period		Added to During			Supv Period	End of Period					
Cases	Children	Cases	Cases Children Cases Children		Cases	Children					
23799	22253	29220	21541	27419	20306	25600	23488				

Unsuccessful Terminations								
Cases	Children							
3676	3458							

Item 2. Juveniles Placed on Deferred Prosecution

Beginning	of Period	Added to During		Left : During	Supv Period	End of Period			
Cases	Children	Cases	Children	Cases	Children	Cases	Children		
10045	9564	24142	21855	24774	22174	9413	9245		

Unsuccessful Terminations								
Cases Children								
4357	4240							

Item 3. Juveniles on Conditional Release from Detention

Beginning	of Period	Added to During	•		Supv Period	End of Period		
Cases	Children	Cases	Children	Cases	Children	Cases	Children	
5850	5375	5375 36863		35625	22104	7088	6516	

Notes:


- ✓ Cases shown as added to supervision include both cases originally filed in a
 jurisdiction and cases transferred from other jurisdictions in or outside Texas.
- ✓ The numbers of children shown indicate the caseloads on supervision. If a child was added to two supervisions at the same time for two referrals, that child would count as two cases, but one child.
- ✓ It is possible that a juvenile may be counted in more than one category.

Supervision Workload Activity

On January 1, 1999, there were 39,694 cases of juveniles receiving active supervision from juvenile probation departments. During the year, 29,220 court ordered probations, 24,142 deferred prosecutions, and 36,863 conditional releases were added for a total of 90,225.

During 1999, 3,676 (13.4%) of the 27,419 supervisions leaving court ordered probation were unsuccessful in their completion of the program. These probationers were either committed to the Texas Youth Commission, transferred to an adult criminal trial, absconded and did not return to the program, or terminated early due to persistent non-compliance to program requirements. Likewise, 4,357 (18.0%) of the 24,774 supervisions leaving deferred prosecution were unsuccessful in their completion of the program. These juveniles were unsuccessful for the same reasons as the court ordered probationers, or they were adjudicated to probation during their deferred prosecution supervision period. On December 31, 1999, 42,101 juveniles were under active supervision.

Supervision Workload




Summary

For the fourth consecutive year, total referrals decreased. The 5.3% decrease in 1999 follows a 0.4% decrease in 1998, a 2.3% decrease in 1997, and a 3.6% decrease in 1996. Likewise, decreases were evident in all major categories of offense referrals, including violent felonies (down 0.9%), total felonies (down 5.2%), misdemeanor classes A and B (down 1.9%), status offense categories (down 4.2%), and other non-status CINS offenses (down 29.0%). Increases were indicated in violation of probation orders and contempt of magistrate orders (up 10.0%). The majority of referrals continue to be for non-felony offenses (79.6% of total referrals), while violent felony offenses comprise only 5.5% of the total referrals.

Generally, changes in referrals reflect changes in population growth. The chart below compares the growth trends of referrals and population. Referral rates grew at a faster rate than population rates during the mid 1990's, but declined in the later years. Forecasts by the Texas Criminal Justice Policy Council indicate that rates may steadily begin to increase again mainly due to projected increases in the state's juvenile population, with referrals increasing to over 134,000 in 2005. The peak of historical data occurred in 1995, with 133,866 referrals.

> Trends of Referrals vs. Juvenile Population* 1990 - 1999





^{*} Source of population projections: Texas State Data Center

The majority of dispositions were assigned by probation departments and juvenile courts. Nearly half of those dispositions (43.4%) resulted in some form of extended supervision, either adjudicated probation or deferred prosecution. Only 2.7% resulted in the juvenile being transferred to the adult system or committed to the Texas Youth Commission.

Glossary of Juvenile Justice Terminology

Adjudicated Probation - after going to court for an adjudication hearing on the facts, a judge may order this form of community-based supervision for a specified period of time, based on such reasonable and lawful terms as the court may determine. While on adjudicated probation, the offender may be required to participate in any program deemed appropriate, such as an intensive supervision program or residential placement.

Adjudication Hearing - hearing held after the announcement hearing where it is determined whether the child committed the offense named in the petition; the juvenile equivalent of a criminal trial.

Announcement Hearing - the first hearing in the Juvenile Court process at which time the judge determines if the Court needs to appoint an attorney, or if the family can retain their own.

Appeal - a complaint to a higher Court urging that it overturn the decision of a lower Court.

Certification Hearing - hearing held to determine whether the juvenile court will waive its jurisdiction so that an accused juvenile felony offender can be prosecuted as an adult. Certification is permissive and not mandatory under Texas law. Depending upon the type of felony committed, a juvenile as young as either 14 or 15 years of age can be certified to stand trial as an adult.

Child - in Texas, a person who is 10 years of age or older and under 17 years of age or a person who is 17 years of age or older and under 18 years of age who is alleged or found to have engaged in delinquent conduct or CINS as a result of acts committed before becoming 17 years of age.

Commitment - a child committed to the care, control and custody of the Texas Youth Commission (TYC). All commitments to the TYC, except under the determinate sentencing act, are for an indeterminate term not to extend beyond the child's 21st birthday.

Commitment Performance Target - the target number of juveniles a probation department should recommend annually to the juvenile courts for commitment to the Texas Youth Commission.

Conduct Indicating a Need for Supervision (CINS) - public intoxication, truancy, running away from home, fineable only offenses that have been transferred to a juvenile court from a municipal or

justice court, inhalant abuse, expulsion for violating a school disciplinary code, or a violation of a court order under the Services to At Risk Youth Programs.

Deferred Prosecution - a voluntary alternative to adjudication where the child, parent(s), prosecutor and the juvenile probation department agree upon probation conditions. Deferred prosecution can last up to six months. If the child violates any of the probation conditions, the state may elect to proceed with formal court adjudication.

Delinquent Conduct - violation of any law punishable by incarceration (except traffic laws), violation of juvenile court orders entered under Section 54.04 or 54.05 of the Texas Family Code (except by truancy, running away, or fineable only offenses), contempt of magistrate orders, D.W.I. and other related offenses and third offense driving under the influence of alcohol by a minor.

Department - a juvenile probation department organized in one or more counties and supervised by one or more juvenile boards.

Detention Hearing - hearing held to determine if a child will be held in detention pending future disposition of the case. A child shall be detained only upon an Order of the Juvenile Court or an alternative magistrate.

Determinate Sentence - sentence imposed on a juvenile offender for up to 40 years. The juvenile will first serve time in TYC but may later be transferred to adult prison and become subject to adult parole laws. A determinate sentence is only available for certain types of felony offenses.

Directive to Apprehend - an order issued by a juvenile court judge upon recommendation by a peace officer or juvenile probation officer to have a child apprehended and detained. A directive to apprehend must be based on probable cause.

Disposition Hearing - hearing held after adjudication where the judge decides what probation conditions will be imposed upon the child (and the child's family) or whether to commit the child to the Texas Youth Commission.

Diversionary Placement - residential placement program for juvenile referrals using the state Community Corrections Assistance Fund. This placement is intended to be the last alternative to sending the offender to the Texas Youth

Commission.

Guardian Ad Litem - an adult appointed by the Court to represent a child's interest in a judicial proceeding. A guardian ad litem is appointed when the child's parent is either not present or is incapable of making decisions in the child's best interest.

Ideal Commitment - a commitment to TYC of a child whose Progressive Sanctions Guideline Level is a six or a seven.

Intensive Supervision Program - type of probation that is a cost-effective means of diverting juvenile offenders from committal to the Texas Youth Commission. Services provided vary from department to department, but common elements include reduced caseloads by specially trained probation officers and more frequent contacts between the juvenile and his or her probation officer.

Juvenile Board - a body established by law to provide juvenile probation services to a county or counties.

Juvenile Court - a court designated under Section 51.04 of the Texas Family Code, or by other law, to exercise jurisdiction over proceedings under Title 3 of the Texas Family Code.

Modification Hearing - hearing held when a child has been placed on court ordered probation and due to a change in circumstances, the original disposition requires amending. The disposition order is modified to reflect the change.

Petition - document filed by the District Attorney containing the child's name, date of birth, address, parent's name, and alleged offense.

Progressive Sanctions Guidelines - statutory guidelines for juvenile dispositions designed to give uniformity and predictability to juvenile punishments. There are seven progressively more restrictive sanction levels under the guidelines. An offender's sanction level will be based on the severity of the offense, the offender's prior history, individual circumstances and needs as well as the effectiveness of prior interventions.

Referral - (also called formal referral) any occasion when a child is brought to a juvenile probation department's attention for alleged delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision (CINS) and some contact by visit or interview occurs between the department and the juvenile or parents.

Residential Placement - child is placed in a nonsecure facility (i.e., foster homes, alcohol and drug treatment facilities, halfway houses, MHMR facilities, etc.) or a secure facility (i.e., boot camps, secure county, state, or private facilities, etc.) either with or without a court proceeding.

Respondent - the juvenile who is the subject of a petition.

Revocation Hearing - hearing held to revoke a probation order and give a new disposition when a child has violated the conditions of his/her probation.

Sealing - the closure of juvenile records from inspection made upon petition to the court, or upon the court's own motion.

Status Offenses - juvenile offenses that are not criminal when committed by adults; e.g. runaway or truancy.


Summons - advises the child's parents/guardian/ custodian of when and where the child's court hearing is to take place (date, time and location). Summons is served to both the child and the parents(s) with copies of the petition attached.

Supervisory Caution - descriptive term for a wide variety of summary, nonjudicial dispositions that intake may make of a case. This may include referral of the child to a social agency or a community based first offender program run by law enforcement, contacting parents to inform them of the child's activities, or simply warning the child about his or her activities.

Writ - an order issued by a Court commanding that a certain act or acts be done or not done.

Writ of Habeas Corpus - an extraordinary writ ordering a public officer holding a person in confinement to bring the person before the Court. This is used to secure the release from custody of minors or adults being illegally held.

TEXAS JUVENILE CRIME CLOCK



Appendix (by County)

			Alleged	Delinquent B	ehavior	Alleged	CINS Behavior				
	1999 Total	1999 Juv	Violent	Other	Other			Total	Referral	Children	Crisis
County	Population	Population	Felony	Felony	Delinq	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred	Intervention
ANDERSON	52,040	4,592	19	83	153	46	61	362	7.9%	244	1
ANDREWS	15,368	1,905	3	22	59	10	4	98	5.1%	80	0
ANGELINA	73,832	7,543	33	95	181	12	4	325	4.3%	234	5
ARANSAS	19,410	1,809	2	32	87	28	80	229	12.7%	178	0
ARCHER	8,268	942	0	2	3	0	0	5	0.5%	4	0
ARMSTRONG	1,979	216	1	3	2	2	0	8	3.7%	7	0
ATASCOSA	36,144	4,703	10	61	76	6	28	181	3.8%	129	7
AUSTIN	20,591	2,126	1	12	50	5	6	74	3.5%	65	0
BAILEY	7,406	865	0	3	27	0	1	31	3.6%	24	7
BANDERA	13,520	1,257	2	10	27	9	2	50	4.0%	44	0
BASTROP	51,471	6,007	28	76	206	51	108	469	7.8%	323	0
BAYLOR	4,149	388	1	1	4	0	0	6	1.5%	6	0
BEE	32,337	2,920	7	34	136	59	53	289	9.9%	213	0
BELL	208,049	23,342	90	227	636	208	185	1,346	5.8%	989	1
BEXAR	1,337,864	141,531	315	975	5,791	402	2,778	9,946	7.0%	6,656	2,909
BLANCO	7,101	749	0	7	9	2	1	19	2.5%	18	0
BORDEN	815	87									
BOSQUE	15,997	1,581	5	10	40	7	1	63	4.0%	53	5
BOWIE	86,419	8,209	41	108	268	63	248	728	8.9%	486	36
BRAZORIA	217,988	25,212	58	210	1,076	257	871	2,472	9.8%	1,428	126
BRAZOS	123,855	11,376	38	115	827	296	146	1,422	12.5%	845	0
BREWSTER	10,500	876	2	9	12	1	2	26	3.0%	21	1
BRISCOE	1,918	197	1	1	3	0	0	5	2.5%	5	0
BROOKS	8,870	1,125	2	29	27	2	21	81	7.2%	62	0
BROWN	34,138	3,383	15	62	115	77	37	306	9.0%	202	631
BURLESON	15,111	1,575	12	58	86	13	4	173	11.0%	165	2
BURNET	27,451	2,745	13	43	102	29	8	195	7.1%	159	0
CALDWELL	31,579	3,610	6	36	158	29	25	254	7.0%	190	0
CALHOUN	19,977	2,119	6	32	67	25	20	150	7.1%	101	2
CALLAHAN	11,897	1,450	3	11	25	1	1	41	2.8%	38	1
CAMERON	320,546	35,944	154	302	837	211	106	1,610	4.5%	1,167	76
CAMP	10,597	1,020	3	4	11	3	0	21	2.1%	20	0
CARSON	6,446	713	1	0	4	0	1	6	0.8%	6	0
CASS	29,831	3,050	11	18	27	54	1	111	3.6%	101	19
CASTRO	9,515	1,234	2	5	21	0	10	38	3.1%	30	1
CHAMBERS	20,733	2,180	4	27	4	0	0	35	1.6%	28	0
CHEROKEE	43,706	4,381	18	33	129	17	24	221	5.0%	168	10
CHILDRESS	6,607	567	2	1	12	1	0	16	2.8%	15	0
CLAY	9,963	1,110	0	7	22	5	0	34	3.1%	28	1
COCHRAN	4,763	594	3	4	20	2	2	31	5.2%	21	1

			Alleged Delinquent Behavior			Alleged CINS Behavior					
	1999 Total	1999 Juv	Violent	Other	Other			Total	Referral	Children	Crisis
County	Population	Population	Felony	Felony	Delinq	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred	Intervention
COKE	3,416	303	1	7	11	2	4	25	8.3%	24	0
COLEMAN	9,262	873	4	23	27	1	3	58	6.6%	48	0
COLLIN	392,496	45,247	78	248	807	175	51	1,359	3.0%	1,023	0
COLLINGSWORTH	3,408	361	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.3%	1	0
COLORADO	18,155	1,952	10	31	82	0	2	125	6.4%	91	0
COMAL	70,943	7,294	6	52	224	75	80	437	6.0%	319	0
COMANCHE	13,186	1,235	2	11	26	0	2	41	3.3%	33	0
CONCHO	3,270	266	2	0	5	0	0	7	2.6%	5	0
COOKE	32,113	3,441	8	7	88	7	3	113	3.3%	87	0
CORYELL	72,945	8,404	27	66	139	55	27	314	3.7%	228	19
COTTLE	2,144	224	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.4%	1	0
CRANE	5,075	619	1	2	10	0	0	13	2.1%	9	7
CROCKETT	4,288	466	0	2	4	3	0	9	1.9%	8	0
CROSBY	7,582	882	2	8	17	1	4	32	3.6%	31	0
CULBERSON	4,026	509	0	5	7	0	0	12	2.4%	6	9
DALLAM	5,479	626	3	15	19	29	22	88	14.1%	63	0
DALLAS	2,128,958	212,036	779	1,839	5,460	1,186	695	9,959	4.7%	7,673	82
DAWSON	15,479	1,647	2	29	88	15	47	181	11.0%	121	4
DEAF SMITH	20,035	2,518	8	26	137	43	115	329	13.1%	250	0
DELTA	4,829	441	0	1	10	1	2	14	3.2%	9	0
DENTON	390,581	41,028	72	270	1,292	381	134	2,077	5.1%	1,377	7
DEWITT	19,974	1,953	12	30	126	11	6	185	9.5%	86	7
DICKENS	2,472	204	0	4	10	0	3	17	8.3%	17	0
DIMMIT	11,159	1,359	6	12	42	0	0	60	4.4%	41	0
DONLEY	3,500	279	0	2	2	0	0	4	1.4%	4	0
DUVAL	14,051	1,603	7	19	31	47	27	131	8.2%	85	0
EASTLAND	17,607	1,591	3	13	26	1	4	47	3.0%	42	1
ECTOR	127,024	14,289	34	129	592	9	12	776	5.4%	554	4
EDWARDS	2,471	262	0	0	2	1	1	4	1.5%	4	0
ELLIS	113,973	15,037	29	79	237	77	16	438	2.9%	311	70
EL PASO	738,475	80,782	210	512	2,272	2	12	3,008	3.7%	2,402	0
ERATH	31,394	2,865	5	20	49	29	7	110	3.8%	84	0
FALLS	18,795	1,903	10	15	53	0	0	78	4.1%	59	19
FANNIN	26,767	2,465	7	34	84	60	21	206	8.4%	170	69
FAYETTE	20,283	1,981	3	12	50	1	4	70	3.5%	61	1
FISHER	4,669	455	0	5	4	4	0	13	2.9%	13	0
FLOYD	8,753	1,038	10	10	41	7	10	78	7.5%	56	3
FOARD	1,708	181									
FORT BEND	319,432	39,824	60	172	968	167	112	1,479	3.7%	1,172	0
FRANKLIN	8,060	801	1	5	18	17	15	56	7.0%	35	0

			Alleged	Delinquent B	ehavior	Alleged	CINS Behavior				
	1999 Total	1999 Juv	Violent	Other	Other	_		Total	Referral	Children	Crisis
County	Population	Population	Felony	Felony	Delinq	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred	Intervention
FREESTONE	16,658	1,571	3	13	21	2	5	44	2.8%	38	5
FRIO	15,942	1,792	9	23	90	31	15	168	9.4%	96	0
GAINES	14,869	2,017	4	5	48	13	18	88	4.4%	63	2
GALVESTON	231,513	22,838	147	274	926	87	183	1,617	7.1%	968	0
GARZA	5,280	606	0	0	18	1	9	28	4.6%	23	5
GILLESPIE	19,487	1,865	2	8	35	16	6	67	3.6%	50	0
GLASSCOCK	1,591	235	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.4%	1	0
GOLIAD	6,443	681	2	1	12	9	15	39	5.7%	35	0
GONZALES	18,073	1,993	10	32	47	8	13	110	5.5%	98	0
GRAY	22,877	2,205	8	32	72	32	15	159	7.2%	123	0
GRAYSON	96,930	9,118	45	91	495	104	68	803	8.8%	526	15
GREGG	107,342	10,811	73	148	435	118	67	841	7.8%	572	0
GRIMES	22,287	2,209	6	28	43	2	1	80	3.6%	65	19
GUADALUPE	81,775	9,869	28	58	413	122	246	867	8.8%	594	227
HALE	35,979	4,118	7	42	116	6	10	181	4.4%	154	0
HALL	3,702	330	0	5	0	1	0	6	1.8%	6	1
HAMILTON	7,356	687	1	17	36	0	1	55	8.0%	38	0
HANSFORD	5,854	703	0	1	12	0	1	14	2.0%	13	0
HARDEMAN	5,029	523									
HARDIN	42,460	4,780	11	62	195	37	52	357	7.5%	232	57
HARRIS	3,205,375	327,484	736	2,124	8,362	4,848	1,241	17,311	5.3%	12,058	0
HARRISON	62,033	7,049	10	66	206	181	24	487	6.9%	356	138
HARTLEY	4,576	350	1	2	8	2	0	13	3.7%	12	0
HASKELL	6,581	657	0	8	6	2	0	16	2.4%	15	0
HAYS	86,367	8,837	39	106	378	79	47	649	7.3%	432	8
HEMPHILL	3,660	403	1	2	5	3	2	13	3.2%	12	0
HENDERSON	72 , 736	6,807	26	73	163	43	10	315	4.6%	234	0
HIDALGO	510,726	61,555	151	402	800	290	93	1,736	2.8%	1,298	1
HILL	28,375	2,950	7	23	98	11	3	142	4.8%	91	19
HOCKLEY	24,579	2,938	3	12	28	2	2	47	1.6%	42	6
HOOD	39,875	4,478	13	40	144	78	31	306	6.8%	247	6
HOPKINS	29,513	3,064	7	32	102	34	14	189	6.2%	130	0
HOUSTON	21,628	2,163	6	18	24	5	12	65	3.0%	54	0
HOWARD	31,834	3,344	5	53	163	84	44	349	10.4%	225	0
HUDSPETH	3,303	376	0	2	4	0	0	6	1.6%	5	15
HUNT	72,539	7,791	23	88	321	129	1	562	7.2%	353	0
HUTCHINSON	24,903	2,706	6	15	75	13	27	136	5.0%	115	24
IRION	1,729	192	0	0	4	0	2	6	3.1%	6	0
JACK	6,840	735	1	7	14	0	5	27	3.7%	22	0
JACKSON	13,170	1,417	6	12	41	5	2	66	4.7%	52	1

			Alleged Delinquent Behavior			Alleged CINS Behavior					
	1999 Total	1999 Juv	Violent	Other	Other			Total	Referral	Children	Crisis
County	Population	Population	Felony	Felony	Delinq	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred	Intervention
JASPER	32,100	3,472	17	49	59	4	3	132	3.8%	103	20
JEFF DAVIS	2,161	172	1	0	1	1	0	3	1.7%	3	3
JEFFERSON	236,933	23,485	134	283	1,180	148	146	1,891	8.1%	954	0
JIM HOGG	6,149	861	1	25	13	6	42	87	10.1%	59	0
JIM WELLS	39,579	4,699	19	66	193	65	114	457	9.7%	295	423
JOHNSON	128,889	15,465	44	125	442	312	103	1,026	6.6%	642	9
JONES	18,169	1,778	4	23	36	11	15	89	5.0%	71	2
KARNES	15,124	1,602	5	14	36	6	49	110	6.9%	78	6
KAUFMAN	68,097	8,618	38	86	189	20	7	340	3.9%	264	4
KENDALL	18,413	2,063	2	11	36	8	8	65	3.2%	55	1
KENEDY	512	61									
KENT	999	124									
KERR	41,315	3,924	19	57	213	77	14	380	9.7%	273	0
KIMBLE	4,111	411	1	10	26	4	2	43	10.5%	33	0
KING	378	41									
KINNEY	3,322	309	0	3	6	0	0	9	2.9%	5	0
KLEBERG	33,516	3,269	16	80	127	88	4	315	9.6%	229	8
KNOX	4,722	489	1	5	0	0	0	6	1.2%	5	0
LAMAR	43,832	4,490	14	43	56	11	19	143	3.2%	122	36
LAMB	14,688	1,671	3	8	44	15	8	78	4.7%	63	2
LAMPASAS	14,391	1,491	11	38	88	16	42	195	13.1%	135	3
LASALLE	6 , 375	708	3	8	13	2	6	32	4.5%	27	0
LAVACA	18,103	1,712	2	10	41	5	4	62	3.6%	38	0
LEE	14,502	1,609	12	22	84	14	2	134	8.3%	133	0
LEON	15,210	1,551	0	0	16	6	3	25	1.6%	23	1
LIBERTY	57,539	6,338	23	62	112	29	13	239	3.8%	196	0
LIMESTONE	21,547	2,333	5	17	77	8	4	106	4.5%	78	0
LIPSCOMB	3,066	345	0	6	10	4	2	22	6.4%	22	0
LIVE OAK	9,956	964	0	7	28	1	5	41	4.3%	31	0
LLANO	12,339	766	4	15	26	3	1	49	6.4%	44	0
LOVING	115	15									
LUBBOCK	229,973	23,785	141	288	1,039	179	79	1,726	7.3%	1,081	1
LYNN	6,845	774	3	12	21	1	2	39	5.0%	38	10
MCCULLOCH	8,835	893	1	15	23	2	1	42	4.7%	37	0
MCLENNAN	192,370	19,003	106	282	1,230	227	131	1,976	10.4%	1,236	14
MCMULLEN	866	102									
MADISON	11,777	984	1	10	7	3	0	21	2.1%	18	1
MARION	10,307	911	5	16	23	0	1	45	4.9%	35	1
MARTIN	5,362	665	0	4	13	4	1	22	3.3%	18	0
MASON	3,295	332	2	0	6	2	12	22	6.6%	20	2

County	1999 Total Population	1999 Juv Population	Alleged Delinquent Behavior			Alleged CINS Behavior					
			Violent	Other	Other			Total	Referral Rate	Children Referred	Crisis Intervention
			Felony	Felony	Delinq	Status	Other	Referrals			
MATAGORDA	38,228	4,541	13	35	120	77	110	355	7.8%	215	69
MAVERICK	43,372	5,424	12	25	122	26	40	225	4.1%	179	0
MEDINA	33,267	3,789	19	50	116	27	19	231	6.1%	159	10
MENARD	2,292	249	1	6	8	1	0	16	6.4%	12	0
MIDLAND	125,196	14,426	46	136	788	2	7	979	6.8%	557	0
MILAM	23,173	2,578	4	39	88	1	9	141	5.5%	95	2
MILLS	4,449	408	1	4	2	0	0	7	1.7%	7	0
MITCHELL	9,765	810	1	11	14	30	3	59	7.3%	46	0
MONTAGUE	16,166	1,564	1	14	39	2	1	57	3.6%	50	2
MONTGOMERY	228,697	26,140	113	252	766	185	136	1,452	5.6%	1,103	1
MOORE	18,838	2,258	4	18	77	58	33	190	8.4%	136	0
MORRIS	12,845	1,344	6	28	27	1	5	67	5.0%	44	0
MOTLEY	1,448	124	0	0	3	0	0	3	2.4%	3	1
NACOGDOCHES	57,150	5,588	13	54	136	123	32	358	6.4%	272	35
NAVARRO	43,179	4,698	14	47	93	21	1	176	3.7%	144	0
NEWTON	14,347	1,608	5	30	16	1	0	52	3.2%	47	0
NOLAN	16,937	1,726	20	33	93	194	10	350	20.3%	185	0
NUECES	317,433	34,544	152	329	1,978	1,015	139	3,613	10.5%	2,221	0
OCHILTREE	9,081	1,061	4	8	21	0	1	34	3.2%	32	0
OLDHAM	2,218	210	0	0	2	0	0	2	1.0%	1	0
ORANGE	81,802	9,168	27	99	205	77	9	417	4.5%	294	0
PALO PINTO	26,612	2,888	7	21	38	7	17	90	3.1%	81	0
PANOLA	23,469	2,558	4	16	68	3	7	98	3.8%	81	4
PARKER	88,318	11,214	13	55	163	86	10	327	2.9%	268	0
PARMER	10,392	1,257	1	3	17	0	2	23	1.8%	21	1
PECOS	17,186	1,868	2	30	98	13	18	161	8.6%	114	0
POLK	36,542	2,993	10	89	104	3	3	209	7.0%	154	51
POTTER	104,960	10,440	67	155	553	402	7	1,184	11.3%	704	0
PRESIDIO	8,281	1,004	1	7	1	0	1	10	1.0%	10	0
RAINS	7,841	767	1	3	16	4	3	27	3.5%	24	0
RANDALL	105,890	10,895	26	75	323	113	6	543	5.0%	324	0
REAGAN	5,058	677	1	5	3	0	3	12	1.8%	12	0
REAL	2,515	203	1	2	3	0	0	6	3.0%	6	0
RED RIVER	13,816	1,260	6	22	63	13	33	137	10.9%	84	14
REEVES	16,892	2,041	5	30	97	13	13	158	7.7%	98	2
REFUGIO	8,133	777	4	2	11	7	30	54	6.9%	47	1
ROBERTS	1,017	105	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.0%	1	0
ROBERTSON	16,794	1,811	9	40	44	2	0	95	5.2%	71	2
ROCKWALL	37,598	4,832	4	31	89	31	12	167	3.5%	128	23
RUNNELS	11,380	1,169	0	28	29	1	1	59	5.0%	47	8

			Alleged Delinquent Behavior			Alleged CINS Behavior					
	1999 Total	1999 Juv	Violent	Other	Other	-		Total	Referral	Children	Crisis
County	Population	Population	Felony	Felony	Delinq	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred	Intervention
RUSK	45,177	4,884	6	36	66	33	27	168	3.4%	128	0
SABINE	10,128	730	1	8	13	0	1	23	3.2%	19	4
SAN AUGUSTINE	7,956	783	3	2	7	1	0	13	1.7%	13	2
SAN JACINTO	20,230	2,094	2	14	27	0	1	44	2.1%	40	11
SAN PATRICIO	66,896	8,610	18	85	295	99	121	618	7.2%	477	0
SAN SABA	5,840	571	2	6	13	0	1	22	3.9%	16	0
SCHLEICHER	3,210	384	2	3	4	0	0	9	2.3%	8	0
SCURRY	18,913	2,031	21	23	59	51	54	208	10.2%	126	0
SHACKELFORD	3,176	323	1	0	1	0	0	2	0.6%	2	0
SHELBY	21,822	2,205	0	8	13	0	0	21	1.0%	17	0
SHERMAN	2,930	297	0	0	3	1	4	8	2.7%	8	0
SMITH	165,834	15,819	61	141	675	139	12	1,028	6.5%	659	0
SOMERVELL	6,245	785	7	22	20	3	2	54	6.9%	44	1
STARR	58,950	8,410	22	68	170	25	62	347	4.1%	253	0
STEPHENS	9,092	912	1	7	11	7	4	30	3.3%	22	10
STERLING	1,518	196	0	2	0	0	0	2	1.0%	2	0
STONEWALL	1,958	188	0	1	6	0	0	7	3.7%	6	0
SUTTON	4,455	456	0	1	8	8	0	17	3.7%	14	6
SWISHER	8,613	965	4	10	31	3	4	52	5.4%	42	4
TARRANT	1,470,778	144,559	685	1,370	4,879	638	400	7,972	5.5%	5,155	0
TAYLOR	125,318	12,519	48	160	648	8	37	901	7.2%	615	0
TERRELL	1,502	153									
TERRY	14,015	1,630	1	14	45	11	6	77	4.7%	69	1
THROCKMORTON	1,815	178	1	0	2	0	0	3	1.7%	3	0
TITUS	25,138	2,728	6	33	73	11	4	127	4.7%	95	2
TOM GREEN	109,344	10,930	45	130	582	240	158	1,155	10.6%	684	42
TRAVIS	633,573	59,827	186	632	3,082	283	634	4,817	8.1%	2,931	0
TRINITY	12,596	1,167	2	30	23	0	0	55	4.7%	31	6
TYLER	18,182	1,569	1	10	24	2	15	52	3.3%	49	62
UPSHUR	33,075	3,465	7	34	79	109	64	293	8.5%	231	1
UPTON	4,772	631	1	13	20	0	12	46	7.3%	38	3
UVALDE	25,414	2,821	8	15	119	88	44	274	9.7%	199	3
VAL VERDE	43,654	4,729	10	56	165	6	19	256	5.4%	177	0
VAN ZANDT	42,240	4,494	20	51	142	54	51	318	7.1%	229	0
VICTORIA	79,424	8,625	31	95	698	150	36	1,010	11.7%	568	0
WALKER	56,157	3,812	14	49	93	1	10	167	4.4%	127	3
WALLER	26,297	2,520	14	30	81	23	43	191	7.6%	147	3
WARD	13,482	1,517	10	19	45	10	15	99	6.5%	78	9
WASHINGTON	28,695	2,885	18	39	92	59	24	232	8.0%	222	2
WEBB	175,816	21,296	83	179	940	183	207	1,592	7.5%	1,052	56

County	1999 Total Population	1999 Juv Population	Alleged Delinquent Behavior		Alleged CINS Behavior						
			Violent	Other	Other	_		Total	Referral Rate	Children Referred	Crisis Intervention
			Felony	Felony	Delinq	Status	Other	Referrals			
WHARTON	40,763	4,746	12	31	75	3	6	127	2.7%	115	0
WHEELER	5,433	544	0	3	3	11	3	20	3.7%	19	0
WICHITA	127,812	11,865	74	119	617	26	18	854	7.2%	531	0
			/4	14		1	10	45	2.8%	35	
WILBARGER	15,242	1,588	5		24	<u> </u>	1				0
WILLACY	19,653	2,432	13	17	25	1	9	65	2.7%	53	7
WILLIAMSON	205,293	28,867	56	151	682	137	89	1,115	3.9%	882	2
WILSON	28,863	3,794	9	28	105	29	158	329	8.7%	229	14
WINKLER	8,975	1,053	0	14	26	13	2	55	5.2%	44	7
WISE	41,173	4,793	8	29	67	6	2	112	2.3%	93	0
WOOD	32,733	3,057	10	26	65	25	16	142	4.6%	112	0
YOAKUM	9,330	1,213	6	4	41	5	6	62	5.1%	49	0
YOUNG	17,162	1,785	4	7	42	16	4	73	4.1%	58	11
ZAPATA	12,387	1,641	3	11	55	19	56	144	8.8%	107	3
ZAVALA	13,561	1,591	0	26	9	1	2	38	2.4%	29	0
Totals	19,649,746	2,073,693	6,509	17,816	65,005	17,097	12,569	118,996	5.7%	82,096	5,556

	Delinq E	Behavior	CINS Be	havior		% of Refs	Residential											
County	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Placements											
DERSON	89	89	5	28	211	58.3%	5											
DREWS	17	36	0	0	53	54.1%	24											
IGELINA	49	64	0	0	113	34.8%	12											
ANSAS	25	42	0	0	67	29.3%	13											
CHER	0	3	0	0	3	60.0%	3											
TRONG	2	4	0	0	6	75.0%	0											
SCOSA	54	60	1	2	117	64.6%	30											
TIN	12	18	2	2	34	45.9%	12											
LEY	1	14	0	0	15	48.4%	7											
DERA	11	9	4	0	24	48.0%	4											
ROP	73	117	7	4	201	42.9%	20											
LOR	2	3	0	0	5	83.3%	0											
:	34	100	0	1	135	46.7%	41											
L	189	294	8	8	499	37.1%	83											
AR	676	1,519	54	354	2,603	26.2%	365											
1CO	5	2	2	0	9	47.4%	3											
RDEN																		
QUE	10	4	0	0	14	22.2%	8											
E	114	79	1	19	213	29.3%	20											
RIA	180	883	32	435	1,530	61.9%	32											
s	132	614	120	6	872	61.2%	75											
WSTER	28	8	1	0	37	142.3%	1											
COE																		
OKS	8	3	0	0	11	13.6%	12											
N	16	18	1	1	36	11.8%	5											
ESON	16	20	0	0	36	20.8%	4											
NET	42	44	11	0	97	49.7%	22											
WELL	34	92	2	0	128	50.4%	14											
HOUN	20	40	2	1	63	42.0%	5											
LAHAN	15	10	0	0	25	61.0%	1											
ERON	417	238	17	6	678	42.1%	53											
MP	6	3	0	0	9	42.9%	1											
RSON	1	0	0	0	1	16.7%	0											
s	19	6	0	0	25	22.5%	6											
TRO	16	15	0	2	33	86.8%	8											
MBERS	6	0	0	0	6	17.1%	0											
EROKEE	38	95	0	0	133	60.2%	4											
LDRESS	3	1	0	0	4	25.0%	2											
LIDICIDO																		
Y CHRAN	11 3	16 4	0 0	0 0	27 7	79.4% 22.6%	15 10											

Deling Behavior CINS Behavior Status Other Total Detained Places	
COKE 0 5 0 1 6 24.0% COLEMAN 23 15 1 0 39 67.2% COLLIN 259 578 108 20 965 71.0% COLLINGSWORTH 1 0 0 0 1 100.0% COLORADO 35 40 0 0 75 60.0% COMAL 43 39 9 2 93 21.3% COMANCHE 7 3 0 0 10 24.4% CONCHO 1 3 0 0 4 57.1% COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	1 6 102 0 5 43 0 2 17
COLEMAN 23 15 1 0 39 67.2% COLLIN 259 578 108 20 965 71.0% COLLINGSWORTH 1 0 0 0 1 100.0% COLORADO 35 40 0 0 75 60.0% COMAL 43 39 9 2 93 21.3% COMANCHE 7 3 0 0 10 24.4% CONCHO 1 3 0 0 4 57.1% COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	6 102 0 5 43 0 2 17 14
COLEMAN 23 15 1 0 39 67.2% COLLIN 259 578 108 20 965 71.0% COLLINGSWORTH 1 0 0 0 1 100.0% COLORADO 35 40 0 0 75 60.0% COMAL 43 39 9 2 93 21.3% COMANCHE 7 3 0 0 10 24.4% CONCHO 1 3 0 0 4 57.1% COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	6 102 0 5 43 0 2 17 14
COLLIN 259 578 108 20 965 71.0% COLLINGSWORTH 1 0 0 0 1 100.0% COLORADO 35 40 0 0 75 60.0% COMAL 43 39 9 2 93 21.3% COMANCHE 7 3 0 0 10 24.4% CONCHO 1 3 0 0 4 57.1% COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	102 0 5 43 0 2 17 14
COLLINGSWORTH 1 0 0 0 1 100.0% COLORADO 35 40 0 0 75 60.0% COMAL 43 39 9 2 93 21.3% COMANCHE 7 3 0 0 10 24.4% CONCHO 1 3 0 0 4 57.1% COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	0 5 43 0 2 17 14
COLORADO 35 40 0 0 75 60.0% COMAL 43 39 9 2 93 21.3% COMANCHE 7 3 0 0 10 24.4% CONCHO 1 3 0 0 4 57.1% COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	43 0 2 17 14
COMANCHE 7 3 0 0 10 24.4% CONCHO 1 3 0 0 4 57.1% COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	0 2 17 14
CONCHO 1 3 0 0 4 57.1% COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	2 17 14
COOKE 7 30 2 0 39 34.5%	17 14
	14
CORYELL 48 19 1 1 69 22.0%	
COTTLE	
CRANE 8 4 0 0 12 92.3%	0
CROCKETT 0 3 1 0 4 44.4%	0
CROSBY 5 5 0 1 11 34.4%	3
CULBERSON 2 1 0 0 3 20.0%	0
DALLAM 4 2 0 1 7 8.0%	2
DALLAS 1,940 2,577 6 15 4,538 45.6%	900
DAWSON 21 39 0 1 61 33.7%	6
DEAF SMITH 16 38 1 2 57 17.3%	25
DELTA 2 2 0 0 4 28.6%	3
DENTON 209 671 10 4 894 43.0%	71
DEWITT 21 85 0 0 106 57.3%	8
DICKENS	
DIMMIT 19 11 0 0 30 50.0%	4
DONLEY	
DUVAL 18 18 2 4 42 32.1%	1
EASTLAND 7 15 1 0 23 47.9%	2
ECTOR 124 486 5 13 628 80.9%	95
EDWARDS	
ELLIS 185 286 3 2 476 108.7%	14
EL PASO 493 1,330 2 8 1,833 60.9%	203
ERATH 8 3 0 0 11 10.0%	5
FALLS 24 47 0 0 71 91.0%	2
FANNIN 20 29 1 2 52 25.2%	9
FAYETTE 10 17 0 0 27 38.6%	2
FISHER	
FLOYD 1 2 0 0 3 3.8%	42
FOARD	
FORT BEND 203 509 33 27 772 52.2%	85
FRANKLIN 5 2 2 0 9 16.1%	2

	Num	mber of Refer	rals in Secur	e Detention	ı			
	Delinq B	Behavior	CINS Be	havior		% of Refs	Residential	
County	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Placements	
FREESTONE	13	19	1	1	34	77.3%	1	
FRIO	12	22	2	1	37	22.0%	6	
GAINES	4	13	0	0	17	19.3%	12	
GALVESTON	342	616	22	0	980	60.6%	57	
GARZA	0	16	1	4	21	75.0%	2	
FILLESPIE	5	9	1	0	15	22.4%	1	
LASSCOCK	0	1	0	0	1	100.0%	0	
GOLIAD	3	2	0	0	5	12.8%	3	
ONZALES	22	11	0	0	33	30.0%	7	
RAY	3	22	4	1	30	18.9%	6	
AYSON	77	212	4	14	307	38.2%	30	
EGG	146	214	18	7	385	45.8%	16	
IMES	32	9	0	0	41	51.3%	3	
JADALUPE	74	211	13	14	312	36.0%	48	
ALE	78	73	0	1	152	84.0%	21	
ALL	1	0	0	0	1	16.7%	0	
AMILTON	4	6	0	0	10	18.2%	7	
ANSFORD	1	7	0	1	9	64.3%	1	
ARDEMAN								
RDIN	39	121	18	12	190	53.2%	4	
RRIS	1,751	3,384	385	434	5,954	34.4%	2,970	
ARRISON	66	218	38	15	337	69.2%	23	
RTLEY	0	5	0	0	5	38.5%	5	
SKELL	8	2	0	0	10	62.5%	1	
YS	99	226	12	1	338	52.1%	38	
PHILL	3	0	0	1	4	30.8%	0	
ENDERSON	60	109	7	3	179	56.8%	8	
DALGO	313	278	51	9	651	37.5%	526	
ILL	21	60	5	1	87	60.8%	10	
OCKLEY	5	7	0	0	12	25.5%	3	
OOD	27	34	3	5	69	22.5%	18	
OPKINS	44	75	5	3	127	67.2%	20	
OUSTON	14	6	1	2	23	35.4%	2	
OWARD	103	232	3	0	338	96.8%	13	
UDSPETH	0	3	ō	0	3	42.9%	0	
UNT	94	282	15	0	391	69.6%	17	
UTCHINSON	14	39	0	1	54	39.7%	4	
RION								
ACK	3	3	0	1	7	25.9%	1	
ACKSON	10	10	1	2	23	34.8%	1	
- = ===			-	_		22.20	-	

	Nun	aber of Refer	rals in Secur	e Detention	ı		
	Delinq E	Behavior	CINS Be	havior		% of Refs	Residential
County	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Placements
JASPER	16	16	1	0	33	25.0%	12
JEFF DAVIS							
JEFFERSON	167	405	9	6	587	31.0%	85
JIM HOGG	6	0	0	0	6	6.9%	4
JIM WELLS	36	34	8	7	85	18.6%	18
OHNSON	112	263	41	39	455	44.3%	40
ONES	6	3	0	0	9	10.1%	1
CARNES	15	15	0	0	30	27.3%	6
AUFMAN	80	95	0	0	175	51.5%	8
ENDALL	3	12	1	1	17	26.2%	4
ENEDY							
ENT							
ERR	58	145	47	5	255	67.1%	8
IMBLE	10	19	0	0	29	67.4%	7
ING							
NNEY	3	2	0	0	5	55.6%	1
LEBERG	13	9	2	0	24	7.6%	7
IOX	4	0	0	0	4	66.7%	2
MAR	46	22	0	0	68	47.6%	2
В	7	18	1	0	26	33.3%	7
MPASAS	29	44	1	0	74	37.9%	15
ASALLE	13	13	7	2	35	109.4%	0
AVACA	10	28	1	0	39	62.9%	6
Œ	9	4	0	0	13	9.7%	5
ON	0	1	0	1	2	8.0%	1
BERTY	44	43	1	0	88	36.8%	1
IMESTONE	18	66	6	3	93	87.7%	2
IPSCOMB	0	1	0	1	2	9.1%	1
IVE OAK	4	20	0	0	24	58.5%	8
ANO	20	10	1	1	32	65.3%	4
OVING							
UBBOCK	250	600	11	3	864	50.1%	106
ZNN	15	16	0	0	31	79.5%	0
CCULLOCH	4	6	0	0	10	23.8%	5
CLENNAN	327	1,144	29	14	1,514	76.6%	68
CMULLEN							
ADISON	5	2	0	0	7	33.3%	0
ARION	9	8	0	0	17	37.8%	1
ARTIN	7	10	3	0	20	90.9%	0
ASON	2	4	1	1	8	36.4%	0

Deling D		Nun	mber of Refer	rals in Secur	re Detention	1			
MATAGORDA 24 20 0 6 50 14.0% 66 MEDINA 55 73 20 0 148 64.1% 8 MEDINA 55 73 20 0 7 148 64.1% 8 MEDINA 55 73 20 0 7 148 64.1% 8 MEDINA 181 762 2 7 952 97.2% 51 MILAM 28 63 0 0 91 64.5% 3 MILLS 3 0 0 0 3 42.9% 0 MILLS 3 0 0 0 3 42.9% 1 MILCHELL 7 0 0 0 0 7 11.9% 1 MITCHELL 7 0 0 0 0 7 11.9% 1 MONTAGUERY 135 202 1 3 341 22.5% 22 MOORE 7 4 0 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MORRIS 8 7 1 0 16 22.9% 8 MORIES 8 7 1 0 16 22.9% 8 MORTES 8 7 1 0 16 22.9% 8 MORTES 9 42 7 0 78 22.8% 8 MOTLEY NACCOGOCHES 29 42 7 0 78 22.8% 8 NOVARRO 33 15 1 0 27 51.9% 8 NOVARRO 33 15 1 0 27 51.9% 8 NOLAN 11 9 7 1 0 0 27 51.9% 8 NOLAN 11 9 0 0 0 27 51.9% 8 NOLAN 11 9 0 0 0 20 5.7% 1 NOLECES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 CCHILTREE 5 6 0 0 0 11 22.4% 1 CRANCE 47 88 2 0 0 137 32.9% 11 ORANGE 47 88 2 0 0 137 32.9% 11 ORANGE 47 88 2 0 0 137 32.9% 13 PALO PINTO 3 2 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCILL 8 3 1 0 2 41 41.8% 5 PARKER 22 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PANCIC 11 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 11 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 1 1 12 12 13 9.5% 13 PALO PINTO 1 3 2 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANCIC 18 1 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 10 PANCIC 18 1 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 10 PANCIC 18 1 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 10 RANDALL 62 188 6 2 2 58 47.4% 37 REAGAN 8 1 1 0 0 0 9 75.6% 0 REAL RED RIVER 5 7 0 0 1 1 13 9.5% 22		Delinq E	Behavior	CINS Be	havior		% of Refs	Residential	
MAVERICK 21 20 6 0 47 20.9% 6 MEDINA 55 73 20 0 148 64.1% 8 MENARD 4 3 3 0 0 7 43.8% 4 MIDLAND 181 762 2 7 952 97.2% 51 MILAM 28 63 0 0 0 91 64.5% 3 MILLS 3 0 0 0 3 42.9% 0 MITCHELL 7 0 0 0 0 7 11.9% 1 MINONTAGUE 8 14 0 0 22 38.6% 8 MONTAGUE 8 14 0 0 22 38.6% 8 MONTEGUEY 135 202 1 3 341 23.5% 22 MOORE 7 4 0 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MORRIS 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MORRIS 8 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MORRIS 8 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MORRIS 8 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MORRIS 8 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MORRIS 8 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MORRIS 8 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MONTAGUEY NACCOGOCHES 29 42 7 0 78 21.8% 8 NAVARRO 33 15 1 0 0 49 27.8% 99 NEWTON 19 7 1 0 0 27 51.9% 8 NAVARRO 33 15 1 0 0 27 51.9% 56 OCHILITRE 5 6 6 0 0 1 11 32.4% 1 NUECES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 OCHILITRE 5 6 6 0 0 1 11 32.4% 1 ORANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 PLOCHAM 2 1 0 0 5 5.6% 0 CHANGE 47 88 2 0 0 137 32.9% 13 PLOCHAM 2 1 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLAN 1 1 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PANOLAN 2 1 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PANOLAN 3 2 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 CHANGE 47 88 31 0 2 11 41.8% 5 PARKER 22 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PANOLAN 3 1 4 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLAN 3 1 4 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PARMER 2 2 49 4 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PARMER 3 3 1 0 0 0 10 10 0.0% 0 RAINS 7 8 6 6 PRESIDIO 6 3 0 0 0 10 5 5.6% 1	unty	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Placements	
MEDIAN 55 73 20 0 148 64.1% 8 MENARD 4 3 0 0 0 7 43.8% 4 MIDLAND 181 762 2 7 952 97.2% 51 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ATAGORDA	24	20	0	6	50	14.0%	21	
MENTARD 4 3 0 0 7 43.8% 4 MIDLAND 181 762 2 7 952 97.2% 51 MILAM 28 63 0 0 91 64.5% 3 MILLS 3 0 0 0 3 42.9% 0 MITCHELL 7 0 0 0 7 11.9% 1 MONTAGUE 8 14 0 0 22 38.6% 8 MONTGOMERY 135 202 1 3 341 23.5% 22 MOCRE 7 4 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MCRIS 8 7 1 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MCRIS 8 7 1 0 1 23.9% 8 MCHAN 11 9 0 0 27 51.9% 8 <	VERICK	21		6			20.9%		
MILLAN 181 762 2 7 952 97.2% 51 MILAM 28 63 0 0 0 91 64.5% 3 MILLS 3 0 0 0 0 91 64.5% 3 MILLS 3 0 0 0 0 7 11.9% 1 1.9% 1 MITCHELL 7 0 0 0 0 7 11.9% 1 MONTAGUE 8 14 0 0 22 38.6% 8 MONTGOMERY 135 202 1 3 341 23.5% 22 MOORE 7 4 0 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MORRIS 8 7 1 0 0 16 23.9% 8 MOTLEY	IDINA	55	73	20	0	148	64.1%	8	
MILAM 28 63 00 00 01 31 42.9% 00 MITCHELL 7 00 00 7 11.9% 1 MONTAGUE 8 14 00 02 33.6% 8 MONTAGUE 8 14 00 02 33.6% 8 MONTAGUE 8 14 00 02 33.6% 8 MONTAGOENY 135 202 1 33.341 23.5% 22 MOORE 7 4 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NARD	4	3	0	0	7	43.8%	4	
MILLS 3 0 0 0 0 3 42,9% 0 MITCHELL 7 0 0 0 0 7 111,9% 1 MONTAGUE 8 14 0 0 0 22 38.6% 8 MONTGOMERY 135 202 1 3 341 23.5% 22 MOORE 7 4 0 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MOTLEY NACOGDOCHES 29 42 7 0 78 21.8% 8 MONTAGUE 33 15 1 0 49 27.8% 9 NEWTON 19 7 1 0 0 27 51.9% 8 NEWTON 19 7 1 0 0 27 51.9% 8 NOLAN 11 9 7 1 0 27 51.9% 8 NUCLES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 OCHILTRE 5 6 0 0 0 11 32.4% 1 OCHILTRE 5 6 0 0 0 11 32.4% 1 OCHILTRE 5 6 0 0 0 11 32.4% 1 OCHILTRE 6 5 6 0 0 0 11 32.4% 1 OCHILTRE 7 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 31 0 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 31 0 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 31 0 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 31 0 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 31 0 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 31 0 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 5 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 6 1 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 0 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DLAND	181	762	2	7	952	97.2%	51	
MITCHELL 7 0 0 0 7 11.9% 1 MONTAGUE 8 14 0 0 22 38.6% 8 MONTEGUEY 135 202 1 3 341 23.5% 22 MOORE 7 4 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MORTIS 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MOTLEY NAVARRO 33 15 1 0 49 27.8% 8 NEWION 19 7 1 0 27 51.9% 8 NEWI	LAM	28	63	0	0	91		3	
MONTAGUE 8 14 0 0 22 38.6% 8 MONTGOMERY 135 202 1 3 341 23.5% 22 MOORE 7 4 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MORRIS 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MOTLEY 1 0 0				0					
MONTGOMERY 135 202 1 3 341 23.5% 22 MOORE 7 4 0 1 1 2 6.3% 13 MORRIS 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MOTLEY NACOGDOCHES 29 42 7 0 78 21.8% 8 NAVARRO 33 15 1 0 49 27.8% 9 NEWTON 19 7 1 0 27 51.9% 8 NOLAN 11 9 0 0 27 51.9% 8 NOLAN 11 9 0 0 20 5.7% 1 NUECES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 CCHILTRE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 0 0 0 11 32.4% 1 0 0 0 0 11 32.4% 1 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 0 11 0									
MOORE 7 4 0 1 12 6.3% 13 MOORIS 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 MOTLEY MACOGOCHES 29 42 7 0 78 21.8% 8 NAVARRO 33 15 1 0 49 27.8% 9 NEWTON 19 7 1 0 27 51.9% 8 NOLAN 11 9 0 0 0 20 5.7% 1 NUMCCES 299 719 25 22 1.065 29.5% 56 NCHLITRE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 NUMCRES 299 719 25 22 1.065 29.5% 56 NCHLITRE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 NUMCRES 299 719 25 22 1.065 29.5% 56 NCHLITRE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 NUMCRES 299 719 25 22 1.065 29.5% 56 NCHLITRE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 NUMCRES 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 NUMCRES 5 6 0 0 5 11 32.4% 1 NUMCRES 6 1 0 0 5 5 5.6% 0 NCHLITRE 6 5 6 0 0 0 11 37 32.9% 13 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 2 0 137 32.9% 13 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 1 0 0 0 5 5 5.6% 0 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 1 0 0 0 5 5 5.6% 0 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 1 0 0 0 5 5 21.7% 3 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 1 0 0 0 5 5 21.7% 3 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 1 1 0 0 0 10 8 51.7% 6 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 NCHLITRE 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NTAGUE	8	14	0	0	22	38.6%	8	
MORIS 8 7 1 0 16 23.9% 8 OCTLEY	NTGOMERY	135	202	1	3	341	23.5%	22	
COTLEY									
NACOGDOCHES 29 42 7 0 78 21.8% 8 NAVARRO 33 15 1 0 49 27.8% 9 NEWTON 19 7 1 0 27 51.9% 8 NOLAN 11 9 0 0 20 5.7% 1 NUECES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 NOCHILTREE 5 6 0 0 0 11 32.4% 1 NOLDHAM 2 1 0 0 0 3 150.0% 1 NORANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 NOLOHOM PARNOE 47 88 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 NOLOHOM PARNOE 47 88 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 NOLOHOM PARNOE 47 88 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 NOLOHOM PARNOE 47 88 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 NORANGE PARNER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 NORANGE PARNER 1 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 NORANGE PARNER 1 4 0 0 0 5 5.1.7% 3 NORANGE PARNER 1 4 0 0 0 5 5.1.7% 6 NORANGE PARNER 1 5 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 NOLOHOM PARNER 1 1 4 0 0 0 108 51.7% 6 NORTHER PARNOEL PARNOEL 1 32 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 NORTHER NORTHER 1 32 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 NORTHER NORTHER 1 32 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 NORTHER PARNOEL PARNOEL RANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 NORTHER RANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 NORTHER RANDALL 63 10 0 9 75.0% 0 NORTHER RANDALL 64 18 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 NORTHER RANDALL 65 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 NORTHER REGAL		8			0				
AVARRO 33 15 1 0 49 27.8% 9 EMTON 19 7 1 0 27 51.9% 8 OLAN 11 9 0 0 0 20 5.7% 1 UECES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 CHILTREE 5 6 0 0 0 11 32.4% 1 LDHAM 2 1 0 0 3 3 150.0% 1 RANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 ALO PINTO 3 2 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 ANOLA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 ARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 ARMER 1 4 0 0 0 5 21.7% 3 ECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 CCOS 15 66 0 0 0 108 51.7% 66 CTIER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 CTIER 132 308 1 5 5 446 37.7% 66 CRESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 1 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 9 75.0% 0 ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAGAN 8 1 1 0 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAGAN 8 1 1 0 0 0 9 75.0% 0									
ENTON 19 7 1 0 27 51.9% 8 OLAN 11 9 0 0 0 20 5.7% 1 UECES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 CHILTREE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 LDHAM 2 1 0 0 3 150.0% 1 RANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 ALO PINTO 3 2 0 0 0 5 5.6% 0 ANOLA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 ARKER 22 49 4 0 0 75 22.9% 16 ARMER 1 4 0 0 0 5 21.7% 3 ECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 OLK 72 36 0 0 0 108 51.7% 6 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 OTTER 132 308 1 5 55.6% 1 ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0									
OLAN 11 9 0 0 20 5.7% 1 UECES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 CHILTREE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 LDHAM 2 1 0 0 3 150.0% 1 RANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 ALO PINTO 3 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 ANOLIA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 ARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 ARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 ECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 OLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 OTTER	VARRO	33	15	1	0	49	27.8%	9	
UECES 299 719 25 22 1,065 29.5% 56 CHILTREE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 LDHAM 2 1 0 0 3 150.0% 1 RANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 ALO PINTO 3 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 ANOLA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 ARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 ARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 ECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 OLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 RESIDIO									
OCHILTREE 5 6 0 0 11 32.4% 1 DIDHAM 2 1 0 0 3 150.0% 1 DRANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 PALO PINTO 3 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 PANOLA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 PARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 PECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 PECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 PECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 PECOS 15 6 0 0 108 51.7% 6 PERSIDIO									
LDHAM 2 1 0 0 3 150.0% 1 RANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 AALO PINTO 3 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 ANOLA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 ARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 ARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 ECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 OLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 66 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 RESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 15 55.6% 1 ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAL									
RANGE 47 88 2 0 137 32.9% 13 ALO PINTO 3 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 ANOLA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 ARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 ARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 ECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 OLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 6 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 RESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAL ED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2									
ALO PINTO 3 2 0 0 5 5.6% 0 ANOLA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 ARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 ARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 SECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 OLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 OTTER 132 308 1 5 5.6% 1 OLTER 132 308 1 5 5.6% 1 OLTER 100.0% 100.0	.DHAM	2	1	0	0	3	150.0%	1	
NANOLA 8 31 0 2 41 41.8% 5 PARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 PARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 PECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 POLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 POTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 PRESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 PARMEN 7 8 0 0 15 55.6% 1 PARMEN 6 2 258 47.4% 37 PARMEN 8 1 0 9 75.0% 0 PARMEN 9 75.0% 0 0 PARMEN									
ARKER 22 49 4 0 75 22.9% 16 ARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 ECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 OLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 RESIDIO 6 3 0 1 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 1 100.0% 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
PARMER 1 4 0 0 5 21.7% 3 PECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 POLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 POTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 PRESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 PAINS 7 8 0 0 1 10 100.0% 1 PAINS 7 8 0 0 0 15 55.6% 1 PARMER 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 PERIOD 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 PERIOD 9 75.0% 0 PERIOD 9 75.0% 0									
ECOS 15 62 5 0 82 50.9% 13 OLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 RESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 1 5 55.6% 1 ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAL ED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2									
OLK 72 36 0 0 108 51.7% 6 OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 RESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 15 55.6% 1 ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAL ED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2	RMER	1	4	0	0	5	21.7%	3	
OTTER 132 308 1 5 446 37.7% 66 RESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 15 55.6% 1 ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAL ED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2									
RESIDIO 6 3 0 1 10 100.0% 0 AINS 7 8 0 0 15 55.6% 1 ANDALL ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAL ED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2									
RAINS 7 8 0 0 15 55.6% 1 RANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 REAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 REAL RED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2									
ANDALL 62 188 6 2 258 47.4% 37 EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAL ED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2									
EAGAN 8 1 0 0 9 75.0% 0 EAL ED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2	INS	7	8	0	0	15	55.6%	1	
EAL ED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2									
RED RIVER 5 7 0 1 13 9.5% 2				0					
REEVES 27 88 10 6 131 82.9% 10									
	EVES	27	88	10	6	131	82.9%	10	
REFUGIO 4 1 0 0 5 9.3% 2		4	1	0	0			2	
ROBERTS									
ROBERTSON 31 30 0 0 61 64.2% 1									
ROCKWALL 19 42 2 0 63 37.7% 31									
RUNNELS 19 4 0 0 23 39.0% 4	NNELS	19	4	0	0	23	39.0%	4	

	Num	ber of Refer	rals in Secur	re Detention				
	Delinq E	Behavior	CINS Be	havior		% of Refs	Residential	
County	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Placements	
RUSK	25	14	0	2	41	24.4%	5	
SABINE	1	0	0	0	1	4.3%	2	
SAN AUGUSTINE	1	1	0	0	2	15.4%	0	
AN JACINTO	16	6	1	0	23	52.3%	0	
AN PATRICIO	81	202	0	1	284	46.0%	66	
N SABA	5	11	0	0	16	72.7%	2	
CHLEICHER	2	2	0	0	4	44.4%	3	
URRY								
IACKELFORD								
ELBY	2	2	0	0	4	19.0%	0	
ERMAN	0	1	0	0	1	12.5%	1	
IITH	148	386	8	1	543	52.8%	69	
MERVELL	6	6	0	0	12	22.2%	1	
TARR	63	73	4	2	142	40.9%	2	
rephens	15	6	2	0	23	76.7%	4	
ERLING								
ONEWALL	2	1	0	0	3	42.9%	2	
TTON	1	0	1	0	2	11.8%	1	
ISHER	14	26	1	3	44	84.6%	11	
RANT	903	1,749	38	15	2,705	33.9%	91	
YLOR	129	351	0	6	486	53.9%	43	
RRELL								
RRY	18	11	1	0	30	39.0%	1	
ROCKMORTON	1	1	0	0	2	66.7%	0	
rus	16	14	0	0	30	23.6%	3	
GREEN	107	155	9	8	279	24.2%	91	
PAVIS	530	1,607	55	14	2,206	45.8%	224	
INITY	14	16	0	0	30	54.5%	1	
LER	7	4	0	0	11	21.2%	1	
SHUR	19	26	5	3	53	18.1%	6	
PTON	19	3	0	0	22	47.8%	0	
VALDE	16	40	0	3	59	21.5%	12	
AL VERDE	34	73	0	3	110	43.0%	6	
AN ZANDT	53	102	2	2	159	50.0%	10	
ICTORIA	69	519	9	5	602	59.6%	42	
ALKER	43	40	0	0	83	49.7%	2	
ALLER	8	15	1	0	24	12.6%	3	
ARD	22	17	0	2	41	41.4%	3	
ASHINGTON	26	38	6	1	71	30.6%	26	
EBB	260	629	142	2	1,033	64.9%	49	

	Delinq D	Behavior	CINS Be	havior		% of Refs	Residential
County	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Placements
WHARTON	16	14	0	0	30	23.6%	2
WHEELER	0	4	0	0	4	20.0%	0
WICHITA	192	357	6	1	556	65.1%	40
WILBARGER	5	6	0	0	11	24.4%	4
WILLACY	17	5	0	0	22	33.3%	3
	150	105	60	40	506	50 50	
WILLIAMSON	178	496	63	49	786	70.5%	82
WILSON	29	38	1	0	68	20.7%	13
WINKLER	1	17	6	0	24	43.6%	1
WISE	12	10	0	0	22	19.6%	5
WOOD	18	21	0	3	42	29.6%	8
YOAKUM	3	9	0	0	12	19.4%	2
YOUNG	20	18	1	0	39	53.4%	11
ZAPATA	14	11	0	0	25	17.4%	5
ZAVALA	14	1	0	0	15	39.5%	2
Totals	15,492	31,233	1,700	1,776	50,201	42.2%	8,341

County	Refused/Dismissed/ Diverted/Not Guilty	Supervisory Caution	Deferred Prosecution	Adjudicated to Probation	Modified Probation	Adjudicated to TYC	Certified as Adult	Consolidated Cases	Total Dispositions
ANDERSON	212	25	72	37	3	5	1	50	405
ANDREWS	16	15	24	31	10	1	0	3	100
ANGELINA	61	63	90	63	3	10	0	35	325
ARANSAS	55	2	132	14	9	3	0	4	219
ARCHER	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	6
ARMSTRONG	0	2	3	2	0	1	0	0	8
ATASCOSA	28	35	42	72	10	- 5	0	54	246
AUSTIN	5	25	35	10	0	0	0	1	76
BAILEY	21	4	11	10	0	0	0	2	48
BANDERA	6	11	19	11	1	0	0	2	50
BASTROP	67	110	110	123	10	5	0	38	463
BAYLOR	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
BEE	49	8	109	66	42	4	4	12	294
BELL	157	453	388	228	29	49	1	88	1,393
BEXAR	2,094	94	1,557	3,934	359	323	14	1,403	9,778
DEARK	2,034	71	1,337	3,334	339	323	11	1,403	3,776
BLANCO	1	7	10	5	0	0	0	2	25
BORDEN									
BOSQUE	10	14	25	8	5	1	0	6	69
BOWIE	83	435	36	62	4	13	3	35	671
BRAZORIA	261	1,569	85	328	48	36	0	88	2,415
BRAZOS	157	774	200	256	72	28	0	72	1,559
BREWSTER	7	2	4	2	1	5	0	1	22
BRISCOE	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
BROOKS	27	5	29	- 15	3	0	0	24	103
BROWN	71	113	56	65	5	4	2	6	322
BURLESON	15	50	26	20	4	6	0	14	135
BURNET	15	54	89	11	3	0	0	14	186
CALDWELL	50	30	43	84	17	4	0	31	259
CALHOUN	76	53	22	39	12	8	0	24	234
CALLAHAN	6	4	23	0	0	1	0	0	34
CAMERON	353	331	251	382	32	36	2	115	1,502
CAMP	3	9	6	1	1	0	0	0	20
CARSON	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	8
CASS	5	57	31	16	2	6	0	0	117
CASTRO	3	11	2	9	8	3	0	0	36
CHAMBERS	8	7	0	43	2	5	0	1	66
CHEROKEE	15	49	80	31	1	9	0	13	198
CHILDRESS	2	1	9	2	0	0	0	0	14
CLAY	2	2	13	16	1	1	0	0	35
COCHRAN	2 0	1	13 5	15	6	1	0	1	29
COCHRAIN	U	1	5	15	6	1	U	Τ.	29

County	Refused/Dismissed/ Diverted/Not Guilty	Supervisory Caution	Deferred Prosecution	Adjudicated to Probation	Modified Probation	Adjudicated to TYC	Certified as Adult	Consolidated Cases	Total Dispositions
COKE	2	10	8	1	0	0	0	0	21
COLEMAN	3	3	31	3	0	2	0	9	51
COLLIN	296	235	386	278	69	12	0	161	1,437
COLLINGSWORTH	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
COLORADO	40	8	25	38	8	4	0	9	132
COMAL	128	73	117	86	38	11	0	48	501
COMANCHE	18	1	7	10	5	4	2	4	51
CONCHO	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	6
COOKE	11	11	42	27	17	5	0	9	122
CORYELL	23	95	117	42	9	11	0	22	319
COTTLE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CRANE	10	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	14
CROCKETT	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	10
CROSBY	0	1	16	8	0	5	0	1	31
CULBERSON	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
DALLAM	7	52	7	11	2	2	0	5	86
DALLAS	1,949	1,969	2,513	2,085	742	368	24	505	10,155
DAWSON	1	87	39	45	5	5	1	8	191
DEAF SMITH	5	71	116	74	31	6	2	31	336
DELTA	2	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	11
DENTON	141	204	341	691	94	29	3	589	2,092
DEWITT	24	58	12	29	5	2	0	44	174
DICKENS	4	4	2	6	0	2	0	0	18
DIMMIT	6	3	31	11	0	2	0	12	65
DONLEY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
DUVAL	0	6	37	9	1	0	0	0	53
EASTLAND	13	8	18	9	0	2	0	2	52
ECTOR	493	219	52	53	78	0	2	22	919
EDWARDS	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	5
ELLIS	55	146	54	83	9	19	0	46	412
EL PASO	901	36	854	699	143	70	0	272	2,975
ERATH	6	16	50	22	5	0	0	11	110
FALLS	10	12	24	13	4	1	0	2	66
FANNIN	26	74	72	26	9	0	1	5	213
FAYETTE	8	18	37	8	0	4	0	0	75
FISHER	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
FLOYD	7	14	22	29	1	3	0	1	77
FOARD									
FORT BEND	506	80	437	383	85	27	3	43	1,564
FRANKLIN	7	10	24	12	4	0	1	6	64

County	Refused/Dismissed/ Diverted/Not Guilty	Supervisory Caution	Deferred Prosecution	Adjudicated to Probation	Modified Probation	Adjudicated to TYC	Certified as Adult	Consolidated Cases	Total Dispositions
FREESTONE	7	7	8	19	0	1	1	2	45
FRIO	62	19	47	14	7	0	0	28	177
GAINES	43	18	4	17	6	0	0	4	92
GALVESTON	286	218	256	317	221	54	2	183	1,537
GARZA	3	9	6	9	4	0	0	0	31
GILLESPIE	0	37	21	6	0	2	0	1	67
GLASSCOCK	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
GOLIAD	8	25	8	3	1	0	0	0	45
GONZALES	19	21	41	21	0	2	0	3	107
GRAY	1	14	39	77	21	4	0	0	156
GRAYSON	209	194	148	100	17	11	0	98	777
GREGG	156	187	215	143	26	14	3	154	898
GRIMES	8	4	15	28	0	5	0	9	69
GUADALUPE	99	404	206	93	14	20	4	30	870
HALE	40	5	68	75	0	5	1	1	195
HALL	6	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	11
HAMILTON	6	2	18	12	5	0	0	9	52
HANSFORD	3	3	4	2	0	1	0	0	13
HARDEMAN	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
HARDIN	45	150	79	47	0	5	0	11	337
HARRIS	3,458	5,053	1,661	5,754	5	575	46	1,156	17,708
HARRISON	132	245	55	31	13	5	0	5	486
HARTLEY	2	1	1	7	0	0	0	0	11
HASKELL	7	5	0	7	0	0	0	0	19
HAYS	88	235	157	117	16	5	1	20	639
HEMPHILL	4	2	5	4	2	1	0	0	18
HENDERSON	77	51	63	65	3	6	0	11	276
HIDALGO	592	211	377	280	72	40	1	213	1,786
HILL	11	32	23	42	3	3	1	20	135
HOCKLEY	3	13	4	18	0	5	0	1	44
HOOD	61	75	120	44	5	13	0	9	327
HOPKINS	20	60	52	68	6	2	0	3	211
HOUSTON	26	17	14	14	6	1	0	1	79
HOWARD	30	223	38	56	4	3	0	14	368
HUDSPETH									
HUNT	112	271	31	58	22	10	1	49	554
HUTCHINSON	24	22	60	26	8	3	0	2	145
IRION	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
JACK	8	4	6	8	2	1	0	0	29
JACKSON	3	4	18	29	3	24	1	0	82

County	Refused/Dismissed/ Diverted/Not Guilty	Supervisory Caution	Deferred Prosecution	Adjudicated to Probation	Modified Probation	Adjudicated to TYC	Certified as Adult	Consolidated Cases	Total Dispositions
JASPER	15	23	28	35	2	4	0	16	123
JEFF DAVIS	0	1	2	0	0	0	Ö	0	3
JEFFERSON	521	389	363	389	190	85	23	9	1,973
JIM HOGG	42	2	15	13	0	1	0	6	79
JIM WELLS	40	191	94	9	6	1	0	4	345
JOHNSON	137	398	171	132	39	12	1	160	1,050
JONES	7	14	40	12	1	1	3	1	79
KARNES	13	22	34	9	1	1	0	13	93
KAUFMAN	98	171	32	70	11	16	0	33	431
KENDALL	13	21	28	10	1	0	0	4	77
KENEDY									
KENT									
KERR	79	109	34	54	21	5	0	35	337
KIMBLE	9	7	5	12	4	1	0	1	39
KING									
KINNEY	1	4	2	3	0	0	0	0	10
KLEBERG	48	66	62	104	1	0	1	21	303
KNOX	1	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	7
LAMAR	10	19	54	53	2	13	1	2	154
LAMB	11	4	27	23	13	0	0	1	79
LAMPASAS	7	77	54	17	8	9	1	31	204
LASALLE	6	5	17	1	0	0	0	0	29
LAVACA	2	8	6	20	8	2	0	18	64
LEE	26	26	31	44	2	5	0	3	137
LEON	2	13	4	5	0	0	0	1	25
LIBERTY	29	62	85	5	0	11	0	26	218
LIMESTONE	17	14	24	9	6	1	1	9	81
LIPSCOMB	4	6	5	8	0	0	0	0	23
LIVE OAK	12	1	14	8	6	1	0	0	42
LLANO	2	9	32	1	1	0	0	1	46
LOVING									
LUBBOCK	402	361	363	289	82	42	1	213	1,753
LYNN	0	3	16	21	0	1	1	0	42
MCCULLOCH	9	5	17	14	2	0	0	1	48
MCLENNAN	446	388	415	268	104	39	1	328	1,989
MCMULLEN									
MADISON	2	4	4	3	0	0	0	1	14
MARION	7	13	10	3	3	4	1	3	44
MARTIN	1	9	3	5	1	0	0	1	20
MASON	2	0	16	2	0	0	0	0	20

Q	Refused/Dismissed/ Diverted/Not Guilty	Supervisory Caution	Deferred Prosecution	Adjudicated to Probation	Modified Probation	Adjudicated to TYC	Certified as Adult	Consolidated Cases	Total Dispositions
County		Caution	Prosecution	to Probation	Probation		as Adult	Cases	Dispositions
MATAGORDA	71	122	49	67	9	4	0	89	411
MAVERICK	28	114	62	19	2	6	1	7	239
MEDINA	45	97	73	9	0	8	1	7	240
MENARD	6	1	3	5	0	1	0	0	16
MIDLAND	168	479	146	126	36	9	0	118	1,082
MILAM	18	20	66	20	4	1	0	11	140
MILLS	4	0	3	2	0	1	0	0	10
MITCHELL	4	38	10	4	0	1	0	3	60
MONTAGUE	2	7	29	15	4	1	0	0	58
MONTGOMERY	179	908	33	143	35	20	3	126	1,447
MOORE	21	97	30	33	2	5	1	4	193
MORRIS	12	17	18	16	7	1	0	4	75
MOTLEY	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
NACOGDOCHES	22	189	35	69	5	18	0	36	374
NAVARRO	15	38	67	42	5	2	0	15	184
NEWTON	9	2	11	24	1	1	0	7	55
NOLAN	22	254	31	16	2	3	0	11	339
NUECES	1,099	1,425	651	264	83	83	2	188	3,795
OCHILTREE	8	6	14	5	1	1	0	0	35
OLDHAM	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
ORANGE	154	101	67	100	9	11	1	33	476
PALO PINTO	4	26	35	19	3	6	0	1	94
PANOLA	20	25	19	20	9	1	0	4	98
PARKER	37	109	108	40	11	8	0	16	329
PARMER	23	8	12	2	2	0	0	0	47
PECOS	3	66	36	36	13	1	0	7	162
POLK	42	23	19	96	33	10	0	1	224
POTTER	51	632	156	102	43	28	6	162	1,180
PRESIDIO	4	0	3	3	0	1	1	0	12
RAINS	3	5	7	9	2	2	0	0	28
RANDALL	113	217	35	98	49	3	3	21	539
REAGAN	4	3	4	5	0	0	0	0	16
REAL	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	6
RED RIVER	26	76	12	8	1	1	0	4	128
REEVES	102	21	14	26	9	0	0	1	173
REFUGIO	9	30	10	4	ō	0	0	0	53
ROBERTS									
ROBERTSON	12	4	25	30	4	5	2	4	86
ROCKWALL	31	33	58	34	15	2	0	13	186
RUNNELS	12	16	12	17	0	1	0	3	61
VONNETIO	12	10	12	17	U	_	U	3	0.7

County	Refused/Dismis Diverted/Not Gu		Supervisory Caution	Deferred Prosecution	Adjudicated to Probation	Modified Probation	Adjudicated to TYC	Certified as Adult	Consolidated Cases	Total Dispositions	
RUSK		22	75	46	15	7	4	0	9	178	
SABINE		3	3	5	8	0	0	0	1	20	
SAN AUGUSTINE		3	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	12	
SAN JACINTO		9	1	4	23	2	3	0	0	42	
SAN PATRICIO		127	14	318	65	59	13	0	26	622	
SAN SABA		2	4	8	1	0	1	0	4	20	
SCHLEICHER		3	0	4	5	1	0	0	0	13	
SCURRY		8	141	28	26	2	7	0	52	264	
SHACKELFORD		0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	
SHELBY		3	3	15	0	0	0	0	1	22	
SHERMAN		2	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	
SMITH		156	175	83	368	103	33	11	109	1,038	
SOMERVELL		10	8	28	2	1	0	0	1	50	
STARR		29	100	154	47	0	0	0	23	353	
STEPHENS		16	8	7	9	0	1	1	0	42	
STERLING		0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
STONEWALL		5	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	9	
SUTTON		2	6	4	1	0	0	0	1	14	
SWISHER		3	10	15	18	7	3	0	0	56	
TARRANT	1	,512	3,350	943	1,295	115	158	11	174	7,560	
TAYLOR		131	221	355	62	31	13	2	177	992	
TERRELL											
TERRY		20	13	41	9	0	4	0	0	87	
THROCKMORTON		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	
TITUS		17	61	29	13	4	3	0	7	134	
TOM GREEN		222	312	328	79	61	10	7	144	1,163	
TRAVIS	2	,750	212	868	1,179	379	121	11	513	6,033	
TRINITY		24	3	0	18	5	6	0	5	61	
TYLER		3	15	14	14	0	0	1	4	51	
UPSHUR		17	177	69	21	2	5	0	5	296	
UPTON		9	12	21	2	0	0	1	2	47	
UVALDE		60	124	51	23	6	5	0	15	284	VAL
VERDE	3		111	98	48	0	2	0	0	262	VAN
ZANDT	40		103	128	6	0	2	0	5	284	VICTORIA
	43		719	63	165	1	15	0	5	1,011	
WALKER		38	10	36	47	2	22	0	20	175	
WALLER		7	80	40	52	1	1	0	1	182	WARD
	27		18	23	17	12	1	2	4	104	
WASHINGTON		28	33	45	67	5	2	0	30	210	
WEBB		286	778	288	287	4	19	0	51	1,713	

County	Refused/Dismissed/ Diverted/Not Guilty	Supervisory Caution	Deferred Prosecution	Adjudicated to Probation	Modified Probation	Adjudicated to TYC	Certified as Adult	Consolidated Cases	Total Dispositions
WHARTON	31	5	38	29	2	7	0	1	113
WHEELER	31	13	1	2.9	0	,	0	1	19
	139	204	221	106	24	15	1	124	844
WICHITA	139	204		106	34	15	1	124	
WILBARGER	6	4	16	12	0	2	0	2	42
WILLACY	6	14	31	7	1	0	0	6	65
WILLIAMSON	135	279	388	151	32	23	1	101	1,110
WILSON	67	83	113	21	0	1	0	34	319
WINKLER	18	10	11	10	1	1	0	3	54
WISE	12	14	41	33	11	2	0	2	115
WOOD	25	57	27	43	7	1	2	9	171
YOAKUM	17	3	19	5	4	1	0	5	54
YOUNG	19	21	15	21	7	1	0	2	86
ZAPATA	11	37	76	0	0	0	0	3	127
ZAVALA	8	2	7	4	2	1	0	3	27
Totals	24,856	31,122	22,267	26,057	4,390	3,002	236	9,569	121,499

	Cases on							Cases on		
	Supervision		Added to Super			Leaving Super	rvision	Supervision	Unsuccessful	Terminations
County	01/01/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	12/31/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros
ANDERSON	67	46	73	85	25	70	14	162	0	0
ANDREWS	56	32	24	3	29	36	2	48	6	2
ANGELINA	91	72	92	40	80	84	43	88	26	14
ARANSAS	83	15	50	115	11	59	121	72	3	1
ARCHER	10	2	0	0	6	2	0	4	0	0
ARMSTRONG	0	3	2	2	0	2	2	3	0	1
ATASCOSA	89	86	44	51	52	50	65	103	23	24
AUSTIN	30	14	46	20	7	41	26	36	0	4
BAILEY	11	11	11	0	8	9	0	16	4	4
BANDERA	14	12	19	3	6	19	1	22	1	0
BASTROP	128	140	114	230	97	116	195	204	7	14
BAYLOR	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
BEE	126	72	33	101	67	45	82	138	8	11
BELL	459	251	393	450	271	367	454	461	69	54
BEXAR	3,347	1,786	2,462	312	1,746	2,602	307	3,252	267	420
BLANCO	15	6	12	1	0	23	2	9	0	2
BORDEN										
BOSQUE	21	14	25	1	13	23	1	24	2	2
BOWIE	99	76	37	3	80	51	4	80	17	4
BRAZORIA	509	356	90	335	368	76	316	530	72	7
BRAZOS	486	260	204	834	262	181	867	474	40	58
BREWSTER	13	6	6	20	16	6	14	9	6	2
BRISCOE	9	5	2	0	6	1	0	9	0	1
BROOKS	19	17	29	0	9	29	0	27	3	7
BROWN	93	76	56	7	72	75	7	78	2	3
BURLESON	66	28	28	40	41	11	22	88	7	4
BURNET	52	18	94	5	22	79	9	59	2	16
CALDWELL	96	100	43	16	84	41	26	104	5	20
CALHOUN	51	44	25	12	28	31	18	55	14	16
CALLAHAN	12	1	24	0	2	21	0	14	1	5
CAMERON	810	408	261	582	306	308	513	934	60	36
CAMP	7	2	7	3	2	12	0	5	0	0
CARSON	1	1	7	2	0	6	2	3	0	0
CASS	36	21	33	4	32	34	7	21	5	3
CASTRO	29	12	2	0	15	10	0	18	4	0
CHAMBERS	14	44	2	6	19	3	8	36	12	0
CHEROKEE	126	40	84	156	54	72	141	139	10	4
CHILDRESS	8	2	9	3	1	7	5	9	0	0
CLAY	13	20	16	2	5	20	2	24	2	8
COCHRAN	13	19	3	0	10	5	0	20	1	0

	Cases on							Cases on		
	Supervision		Added to Super			Leaving Super		Supervision	Unsuccessful	Terminations
County	01/01/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	12/31/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros
COKE	1	1	8	0	1	2	0	7	1	0
COLEMAN	33	9	32	0	6	39	4	25	0	2
COLLIN	342	318	390	92	263	373	43	463	39	65
COLLINGSWORTH	7	0	1	3	2	1	4	4	0	0
COLORADO	36	41	26	18	23	19	26	53	8	2
COMAL	125	102	125	27	93	116	20	150	19	20
COMANCHE	15	12	7	0	13	6	0	15	2	0
CONCHO	0	2	2	1	0	1	1	3	0	0
COOKE	61	38	46	0	42	38	0	65	5	8
CORYELL	82	55	122	75	60	104	68	102	9	21
COTTLE	5	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	0
CRANE	6	3	0	0	5	1	0	3	0	0
CROCKETT	5	3	3	1	2	4	1	5	0	1
CROSBY	13	9	16	0	10	9	0	19	3	2
CULBERSON	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0
DALLAM	21	12	9	4	15	11	6	14	7	6
DALLAS	2,936	2,260	2,535	1,072	1,952	2,389	1,011	3,451	463	818
DAWSON	39	46	40	0	34	39	0	52	10	6
DEAF SMITH	118	81	115	68	78	115	71	118	22	22
DELTA	5	3	4	0	1	1	0	10	0	1
DENTON	700	650	246	435	602	296	464	669	30	0
DEWITT	43	38	12	0	41	13	0	39	5	0
DICKENS	15	6	2	0	10	3	0	10	3	0
DIMMIT	22	15	32	7	7	32	2	35	4	4
DONLEY										
DUVAL	27	9	37	0	12	15	0	46	3	3
EASTLAND	19	11	19	0	17	21	0	11	6	2
ECTOR	139	69	53	0	77	43	0	141	1	19
EDWARDS	7	1	4	0	4	5	0	3	0	0
ELLIS	113	99	57	58	78	67	55	127	17	5
EL PASO	1,282	699	839	0	832	815	0	1,173	163	190
ERATH	45	24	51	8	25	43	6	54	1	2
FALLS	18	18	25	71	13	21	59	39	6	5
FANNIN	52	41	74	3	42	65	2	61	11	31
FAYETTE	22	12	38	7	22	31	5	21	7	3
FISHER										
FLOYD	36	29	22	0	19	24	0	44	2	7
FOARD										
FORT BEND	835	406	454	751	370	544	731	801	35	79
FRANKLIN	19	14	25	0	10	23	0	25	1	2

Supervision	Unsuccessful Adjud Prob 4 2 3 101 2 2 1 8	Terminations Defr Pros 1 2 1 82 0
FREESTONE 26 19 8 6 15 16 7 21 FRIO 40 18 44 0 15 25 0 62 GAINES 34 23 5 3 26 9 3 27 GALVESTON 555 361 256 1 330 267 0 576 GARZA 24 7 6 5 12 14 5 11 GILLESPIE 6 6 6 24 0 8 15 0 13 GLASSCOCK GOLIAD 29 4 8 1 10 14 3 15 GRAYSON 83 78 39 0 70 70 49 0 81 GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALL 13 1 1 5 9 2 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 10 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 5 2 14 13 9 HANSFORD 9 2 5 5 2 14 13 9 HANSFORD 9 2 5 5 2 14 13 9 HANSFORD 9 2 5 5 2 10 2 11 5	2 3 101 2 2 1 8	1 2 1 82 0
FRIO 40 18 44 0 15 25 0 62 GAINES 34 23 5 3 26 9 3 27 GALVESTON 555 361 256 1 330 267 0 576 GARZA 24 7 6 5 12 14 5 11 GILLESPIE 6 6 6 24 0 8 15 0 13 GLASSCOCK GOLIAD 29 4 8 1 1 10 14 3 15 GRAY 83 78 39 0 70 70 49 0 81 GRAY 83 78 39 0 70 70 49 0 81 GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALL 13 1 1 5 9 2 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANDERDAN 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANDERDAN 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANDERDAN 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANDERDAN 19 2 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 HANDERDAN 1 HANDERDAN	2 3 101 2 2 1 8	2 1 82 0
GAINES 34 23 5 3 26 9 3 27 GALVESTON 555 361 256 1 330 267 0 576 GARZA 24 7 6 5 12 14 5 11 GILLESPIE 6 6 6 24 0 8 15 0 13 GLASSCOCK GOLIAD 29 4 8 1 10 14 3 15 GONZALES 55 25 48 8 8 32 62 6 6 36 GRAY 83 78 39 0 70 70 49 0 81 GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GRAYGES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GRAYGES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GRAYGES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GRAYGES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GRAYGES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 1 5 9 2 2 4 13 9 HANTLTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 10 2 11 5 HANDENAN	3 101 2 2 1 8	1 82 0
GALVESTON 555 361 256 1 330 267 0 576 GARZA 24 7 6 5 12 14 5 11 GILLESPIE 6 6 6 24 0 8 15 0 13 GLASSCOCK GOLIAD 29 4 8 1 10 14 3 15 GONZALES 55 25 48 8 32 62 66 36 GRAY 83 78 39 0 70 70 49 0 81 GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 10 26 HANDERANN	101 2 2 1 8	82 0 3
GARZA 24 7 6 5 12 14 5 11 GILLESPIE 6 6 6 24 0 8 15 0 13 GLASSCOCK	2 2 1 8	3
GILLESPIE 6 6 6 24 0 8 15 0 13 GLASSCOCK GOLTAD 29 4 8 1 10 14 3 15 GONZALES 55 25 48 8 8 32 62 6 6 36 GRAY 83 78 39 0 70 70 49 0 81 GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 1 1 5 9 2 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 10 26 HANDEMAN	2 1 8	3
GLASSCOCK	 1 8	
GOLIAD 29 4 8 1 10 14 3 15 GONZALES 55 25 48 8 8 32 62 6 6 36 GRAY 83 78 39 0 70 49 0 81 GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 1 1 5 9 2 186 62 53 126 HALL 19 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 1 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 1 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 1 5 HARDEMAN	1 8	
GONZALES 55 25 48 8 32 62 6 36 36 GRAY 83 78 39 0 70 49 0 81 GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 5 HARDEMAN	8	
GRAY 83 78 39 0 70 49 0 81 GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 HARDEMAN		2
GRAYSON 200 114 150 64 112 136 53 227 GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 5 HARDEMAN		9
GREGG 233 152 220 7 137 208 8 259 GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 HARDEMAN	15	13
GRIMES 52 34 16 58 27 14 53 66 GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 HARDEMAN	27	48
GUADALUPE 198 119 213 18 152 186 16 194 HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 5 HARDEMAN	34	40
HALE 116 273 83 55 286 62 53 126 HALL 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 HARDEMAN	6	3
HALL 13 1 5 9 2 4 13 9 HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 HARDEMAN	63	37
HAMILTON 19 13 19 0 12 13 0 26 HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 HARDEMAN	2	3
HANSFORD 9 2 5 2 10 2 1 5 HARDEMAN	1	1
HARDEMAN	0	3
	1	0
HARDIN 86 54 79 34 67 73 38 75	32	17
HARRIS 9,029 9,234 2,647 24,766 8,360 3,335 24,210 9,771	14	68
HARRISON 108 44 61 146 40 51 113 155	9	4
HARTLEY 10 7 1 2 10 1 1 8	4	1
HASKELL 3 8 0 0 4 0 1 6	1	0
HAYS 163 132 164 39 146 130 37 185	9	14
HEMPHILL 9 4 5 0 9 4 0 5	1	0
HENDERSON 104 92 46 46 70 46 42 130	5	5
HIDALGO 616 312 391 249 298 374 205 691	148	124
HILL 91 53 24 56 59 41 62 62	35	9
HOCKLEY 26 19 4 0 29 5 0 15	8	1
HOOD 82 56 122 0 38 142 0 80	13	25
HOPKINS 84 68 51 3 71 60 2 73	7	13
HOUSTON 21 23 16 2 21 17 0 24	11	3
HOWARD 57 65 39 54 49 42 49 75	11	8
HUDSPETH		
HUNT 59 66 32 0 57 29 0 71	8	10
HUTCHINSON 72 28 60 0 56 54 0 50	4	3
IRION		
JACK 23 8 6 0 22 7 0 8	_	
JACKSON 65 36 18 0 54 14 0 51	2 27	1

	Cases on							Cases on		
	Supervision		added to Super			Leaving Super		Supervision	Unsuccessful	
County	01/01/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	12/31/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros
JASPER	47	36	29	0	30	33	0	49	1	2
JEFF DAVIS	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
JEFFERSON	111	253	348	120	74	122	79	557	66	73
JIM HOGG	25	14	15	0	10	18	0	26	4	0
JIM WELLS	68	15	105	26	23	78	12	101	5	18
JOHNSON	216	227	183	0	192	174	0	260	68	24
JONES	40	15	42	5	11	52	2	37	3	8
KARNES	41	10	34	14	9	46	10	34	4	17
KAUFMAN	97	84	37	0	111	29	0	78	13	9
KENDALL	23	13	29	0	16	32	0	17	1	0
KENEDY										
KENT										
KERR	81	59	37	10	65	37	10	75	10	6
KIMBLE	25	20	6	23	12	3	23	36	2	0
KING										
KINNEY	6	0	4	0	1	3	0	6	0	0
KLEBERG	106	104	62	19	88	72	18	113	29	12
KNOX	3	5	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0
LAMAR	42	58	57	5	46	51	5	60	9	4
LAMB	28	28	25	8	22	21	7	39	4	3
LAMPASAS	66	23	56	35	32	67	31	50	11	9
LASALLE	11	4	30	20	5	25	17	18	0	10
LAVACA	19	26	7	20	20	8	21	23	2	1
LEE	49	51	35	7	32	17	10	83	5	3
LEON	13	8	4	0	7	8	0	10	1	3
LIBERTY	56	18	85	56	16	101	35	63	0	0
LIMESTONE	39	15	31	14	17	29	11	42	4	3
LIPSCOMB	6	8	5	0	6	6	0	7	0	0
LIVE OAK	20	8	14	0	11	12	0	19	1	0
LLANO	9	2	33	0	2	22	0	20	1	5
LOVING										
LUBBOCK	606	323	403	97	287	423	113	606	85	104
LYNN	9	24	21	1	9	18	1	27	0	0
MCCULLOCH	16	16	18	16	10	11	19	26	1	1
MCLENNAN	885	274	418	616	303	439	605	846	40	48
MCMULLEN										
MADISON	4	6	4	0	7	3	0	4	0	0
MARION	14	4	10	0	12	7	0	9	1	1
MARTIN	8	6	3	0	9	0	0	8	1	0
MASON	9	2	15	0	1	13	0	12	0	1

	Cases on							Cases on		
	Supervision		Added to Super			Leaving Super	rvision	Supervision	Unsuccessful	Terminations
County	01/01/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	12/31/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros
MATAGORDA	65	77	53	35	51	57	34	88	25	8
MAVERICK	49	21	62	4	21	69	7	39	10	34
MEDINA	56	15	73	33	11	73	33	60	5	23
MENARD	8	5	3	12	3	5	8	12	1	1
MIDLAND	221	142	146	1	165	126	1	218	12	22
MILAM	47	23	72	98	28	50	90	72	2	7
MILLS	7	3	5	0	5	8	0	2	1	0
MITCHELL	15	4	9	0	6	12	0	10	1	1
MONTAGUE	27	14	28	0	18	25	0	26	4	3
MONTGOMERY	270	173	34	173	153	21	199	277	31	1
MOORE	49	44	30	0	52	29	0	42	10	1
MORRIS	21	22	21	0	15	22	0	27	4	2
MOTLEY	8	1	1	0	1	1	0	8	0	0
NACOGDOCHES	86	79	41	0	61	55	0	90	26	19
NAVARRO	61	51	68	0	42	68	0	70	9	3
NEWTON	29	26	11	0	18	16	0	32	0	2
NOLAN	51	19	32	10	24	42	12	34	8	9
NUECES	566	307	659	2	340	678	1	515	101	153
OCHILTREE	26	5	15	3	14	14	3	18	2	0
OLDHAM	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	0
ORANGE	106	113	75	1	86	91	1	117	19	27
PALO PINTO	37	21	36	0	11	52	0	31	3	3
PANOLA	27	21	20	0	20	19	0	29	1	3
PARKER	93	66	109	1	62	99	0	108	8	7
PARMER	17	4	12	0	15	13	0	5	1	3
PECOS	60	37	36	72	29	35	72	69	2	7
POLK	86	101	19	48	106	12	50	86	21	1
POTTER	283	136	129	98	136	154	99	257	37	30
PRESIDIO	10	4	4	3	2	7	0	12	1	0
RAINS	11	11	7	0	12	5	0	12	1	4
RANDALL	202	113	35	37	139	38	38	172	9	2
REAGAN	6	3	4	1	3	4	1	6	2	1
REAL	3	1	4	0	1	3	0	4	0	0
RED RIVER	19	11	15	0	14	12	0	19	2	3
REEVES	50	27	14	27	25	32	29	32	2	7
REFUGIO	22	5	11	4	4	17	2	19	0	3
ROBERTS										
ROBERTSON	37	30	26	65	28	28	56	46	8	5
ROCKWALL	74	36	60	22	40	74	28	50	10	19
RUNNELS	19	18	14	8	9	22	7	21	2	3

	Cases on							Cases on		
	Supervision		Added to Super			Leaving Super		Supervision	Unsuccessful	Terminations
County	01/01/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	12/31/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros
RUSK	62	39	53	21	50	59	21	45	14	10
SABINE	22	11	5	0	19	7	0	12	0	1
SAN AUGUSTINE	3	2	3	0	3	4	0	1	0	0
SAN JACINTO	29	22	4	7	21	10	7	24	2	3
SAN PATRICIO	251	73	149	248	74	162	245	240	9	16
SAN SABA	10	2	9	0	5	10	0	6	1	2
SCHLEICHER	3	6	4	0	2	5	1	5	0	2
SCURRY	66	36	34	0	60	33	0	43	8	2
SHACKELFORD	5	0	1	0	0	5	0	1	0	1
SHELBY	7	1	16	0	5	15	0	4	1	0
SHERMAN	3	1	2	0	3	1	0	2	2	0
SMITH	430	388	85	59	335	91	54	482	44	10
SOMERVELL	9	2	24	0	2	13	0	20	0	1
STARR	154	49	163	53	46	173	65	135	6	6
STEPHENS	27	8	8	5	20	9	4	15	5	1
STERLING										
STONEWALL										
SUTTON	1	1	4	1	0	3	0	4	0	0
SWISHER	27	17	15	0	14	18	0	27	3	3
TARRANT	1,470	1,376	944	0	1,176	988	0	1,626	151	141
TAYLOR	245	79	361	14	93	308	13	285	27	82
TERRELL	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
TERRY	33	11	41	0	19	26	0	40	6	7
THROCKMORTON	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
TITUS	40	17	29	0	12	48	0	26	3	6
TOM GREEN	353	109	336	150	133	342	137	336	25	94
TRAVIS	1,577	1,266	883	1,282	1,330	965	1,305	1,408	182	244
TRINITY	20	20	0	7	23	0	5	19	5	0
TYLER	28	19	14	0	12	21	0	28	1	1
UPSHUR	68	27	80	138	30	89	135	59	12	15
UPTON	15	2	21	28	1	18	29	18	0	2
UVALDE	72	24	53	5	45	53	7	49	15	6
VAL VERDE	117	52	101	0	41	106	0	123	2	3
VAN ZANDT	58	8	128	2	13	117	5	61	3	28
VICTORIA	321	182	82	0	232	87	0	266	46	41
WALKER	70	54	35	69	56	29	63	80	27	2
WALLER	51	44	41	3	37	35	0	67	11	0
WARD	43	17	24	40	16	33	36	39	4	6
WASHINGTON	95	71	43	46	54	39	34	128	8	11
WEBB	559	284	282	627	248	302	463	739	44	16

	Cases on Supervision	Cases A	Added to Super	vision	Cases	Leaving Super	rvision	Cases on Supervision	Unsuccessful Terminations	
County	01/01/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros	All Other	12/31/1999	Adjud Prob	Defr Pros
WHARTON	48	39	39	1	49	30	0	48	6	2
WHEELER	4	2	1	0	3	2	0	2	0	1
WICHITA	219	117	220	227	130	206	217	230	16	15
WILBARGER	22	13	18	0	19	16	0	18	1	0
WILLACY	27	11	31	12	12	25	3	41	0	4
WILLIAMSON	284	180	389	63	136	399	62	319	23	72
WILSON	88	25	115	32	19	121	21	99	11	52
WINKLER	22	11	11	10	21	10	7	16	3	2
WISE	51	47	44	0	40	40	0	62	2	6
WOOD	72	48	29	0	62	32	0	55	2	4
YOAKUM	37	8	22	20	18	23	14	32	2	0
YOUNG	40	29	16	11	37	21	13	25	4	7
ZAPATA	29	0	75	31	2	63	21	49	0	16
ZAVALA	15	6	7	1	9	9	1	10	2	4
Totals	39,694	29,220	24,142	36,863	27,419	24,774	35,625	42,101	3,676	4,357